arXiv:1406.6182v1 [math.OA] 24 Jun 2014

SMOOTH BIMODULES AND COHOMOLOGY OF II_1 FACTORS

Alin Galatan and Sorin Popa

University of California, Los Angeles

Abstract. We prove that, under rather general conditions, the 1-cohomology of a von Neumann algebra M with values in a Banach M-bimodule satisfying a combination of smoothness and operatorial conditions, vanishes. For instance, we show that if M acts normally on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a norm closed M-bimodule such that any $T \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is *smooth* (i.e. the left and right multiplication of T by $x \in M$ are continuous from the unit ball of M with the s^*-topology to $\mathcal{B}_0$ with its norm), then any derivation of M into $\mathcal{B}_0$ is inner. The compact operators are smooth over any $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, but there is a large variety of non-compact smooth elements as well.

0. Introduction

Given a von Neumann algebra M and a Banach M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$, an element $T \in \mathcal{B}$ is *smooth* (over M) if the left and right multiplication of T by elements in M are continuous from the unit ball of M with the s^*-topology, into $\mathcal{B}$ with its norm topology. The space $s^*_M(\mathcal{B})$ (or $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ for simplicity) of all smooth elements in $\mathcal{B}$ is itself a Banach M-bimodule and we investigate in this paper the question of whether the 1st cohomology with values in a smooth closed M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ vanishes. In other words, whether any derivation $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0$ (i.e., a linear map satisfying $\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y$, $\forall x, y \in M$) is "inner", in the sense that there exists $T \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\delta(x) = Tx - xT$, $\forall x \in M$.

We in fact only consider Banach M-bimodules $\mathcal{B}$ that are *operatorial* (over M), i.e., for which the norm on $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the axiom $\|pTp + (1-p)T(1-p)\| = \max\{\|pTp\|, (1-p)T(1-p)\|\}$, for all $T \in \mathcal{B}$ and all projections p in M, and they will usually be assumed dual and normal.

S.P. supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1400208

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

The prototype example of a dual normal operatorial M-bimodule is the space of all linear bounded operators $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ on which M is represented. Its smooth part $s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that contains the space of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. But unless M is a direct sum of matrix algebras, $s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ is much bigger, containing a large variety of smooth elements that are non-compact (see 1.6, 1.7 hereafter).

We first prove that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual normal operatorial M-bimodule, then any derivation δ from M into a closed sub-bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ can be "integrated" to an element in $\mathcal{B}_0$ on any abelian von Neumann subalgebra of M, and show that if M satisfies some very weak regularity condition (e.g. if M has a Cartan subalgebra, or if it has the property (Γ) of [MvN2]), then any element in $\mathcal{B}_0$ implementing δ on a diffuse abelian subalgebra automatically implements δ on all M. We also prove a similar statement for *smooth derivations* of M into an arbitrary (not necessarily dual normal) operatorial Banach bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0$, i.e. for derivations that are continuous from the unit ball of M with the s^*-topology into $\mathcal{B}_0$ with its norm topology. The precise statement is as follows:

0.1. Theorem. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra with the property that any II_1 factor summand of M contains a wq-regular diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra. Let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be an operatorial Banach M-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0$ a derivation. Assume that either δ is smooth, or $\mathcal{B}_0$ is a closed sub-bimodule of the smooth part of a dual normal operatorial M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$, i.e. $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$. Then there exists $T \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$, $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$.*

The *wq-regularity* condition in the above statement requires that M has a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset M$ such that one can "reach out" from A to M inductively, by a chain of algebras, $A = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset .... \subset N_\imath = M$, such that for all $\jmath < \imath$, $N_{\jmath+1}$ is generated by unitaries $u \in M$ with the property that $uN_\jmath u^* \cap N_\jmath$ is diffuse. We mention that in the case a derivation δ takes values into a smooth M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0$ that's contained in a dual normal M-bimodule, we actually prove that δ follows automatically smooth, without the wq-regularity condition.

Our second main result shows that in the specific case $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, 0.1 holds true even without the wq-regularity assumption: any smooth valued derivation of any von Neumann subalgebra M into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is inner. More precisely, we prove:

0.2. Theorem. *Let $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra represented on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ be a Banach M sub-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0$ a derivation. Then there exists $T \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$, $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$.*

In particular, since the compact operators are smooth over any von Neumann algebra, the above result recovers a result in [P3], sowing that any derivation of a von

Neumann algebra with values into the space of compact operators, is implemented by a compact operator. It also provides an answer to a question posed by Gilles Pisier, concerning derivations from a C*-algebra with a trace (M_0, τ) (such as the reduced C*-algebra of a free group, $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$, $2 \leq n \leq \infty$), represented on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with values in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, satisfying $\| \ \|_2$ - $\| \ \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$ type continuity conditions. Indeed, the existence of such a derivation δ implies that the representation of M_0 is automatically "normal with respect to τ" on the non-degenerate part of δ, and that δ extends to a smooth derivation of $M = M_0''$ into $s_M^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$, so 0.2 applies to get:

0.3. Corollary. *Let M_0 be a C*-algebra with a faithful trace τ and $M_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a faithful representation of M_0. Let $\delta : M_0 \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a derivation. Assume δ is continuous from the unit ball of M_0 with the topology given by the Hilbert norm $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$, $x \in M_0$, to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the operator norm topology. Then there exists $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$ and $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$.*

As we mentioned before, the prototype example of a smooth operatorial M-bimodule is the space of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ on which M is represented, a case studied in [JP], [P2,3]. The initial motivation for our work has in fact been to provide an abstract setting for this case, and find the largest degree of generality for which arguments in the spirit of [JP], [P2,3] can be carried over. At the same time, we were hoping to find M-bimodules for which the vanishing cohomology on abelian subalgebras (as in [JP]) and automatic extension properties (as in [P2]) do hold, while the arguments in [P3], showing the vanishing cohomology for arbitrary II_1 factors, don't.

Results that show vanishing of the 1-cohomology with coefficients in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for arbitrary II_1 factors, like in Theorem 0.2 above, can be relevant for the similarity problem (cf. [Ch], [Ki], [Pi3]). In turn, the existence of a smooth M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0$ for which a II_1 factor M has non-inner derivations, would imply, via Theorem 0.1, that M has no diffuse abelian wq-regular subalgebra (so in particular, M would have no Cartan subalgebras, would be prime, etc). This falls within the larger scope of finding a cohomology theory for II_1 factors that's non-vanishing (and if possible calculable) and that could detect important properties of II_1 factors, such as absence of regularity, or infinite generation. We do not provide smooth such $\mathcal{B}_0$'s here and it may be that in fact 0.1, 0.2 hold true for all II_1 factors and all smooth bimodules. We leave the clarification of this aspect as an open problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we define the smooth part of a Banach M-bimodule, prove the main properties (1.2, 1.3, 1.8) and provide examples. In particular, we show that if $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a diffuse finite von Neumann algebra then the smooth part of the M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ contains infinite dimensional

projections along "Gaussian", "CAR" and "free" directions (see 1.6, 1.7). In Section 2 we consider smooth valued derivations and show that they can be "integrated" on abelian subalgebras (see 2.5). The proof of this result follows ideas and techniques from [JP]. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of wq-regularity for subalgebras and prove Theorem 0.1 (see 3.7, 3.8), by showing that if a smooth valued derivation δ has been integrated to a smooth element T on a diffuse abelian subalgebra that's wq-regular in M, then T automatically implements δ on all M. We in fact prove a stronger result, involving a property that generalizes properties (Γ) in [MvN2] and (C) in [P2], and that we call (C') (see 3.6). The proofs in this section consist of a refinement of arguments in [P2].

In Section 4 we prove Theorem 0.2 and deduce Corollary 0.3 (see 4.1 and 4.5). The proof of 4.1 follows the same strategy as in the case of compact valued derivations in [P3], but the additional technical difficulties are significant. We overcome them by using the incremental patching technique in [P4,6,7]. Section 5 contains general remarks, including a generalization of Theorem 4.1 that recovers results in [PR] (see 5.1), the definition of smooth n-cohomology for $n \geq 2$ (5.2) and some final comments on smooth cohomology (5.3). For convenience, we have included an Appendix with the proof of a general "continuity principle", extracted from [P2,3], used several times in this paper. For the basics of von Neumann algebras, we refer the reader to the classic monographs [D], [T].

1. Smooth bimodules

Recall that if M is a unital Banach algebra (which we will in fact always assume in this paper to be a von Neumann algebra), then a *Banach M-bimodule* $\mathcal{B}$ is an M-bimodule with the property that $1_M T = T 1_M = T$, $\forall T \in \mathcal{B}$, and the left and right multiplication operations $M \times \mathcal{B} \ni (x, T) \mapsto xT \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B} \times M \ni (T, x) \mapsto Tx \in \mathcal{B}$ are bounded bilinear maps. For simplicity, we will in fact always assume that $\|xT\| \leq \|x\|\|T\|$, $\|Tx\| \leq \|T\|\|x\|$, $\forall x \in M, T \in \mathcal{B}$.

If in addition $\mathcal{B}$ is the dual of a Banach space $\mathcal{B}_*$ and for each $x \in M$ the maps $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto xT \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto Tx \in \mathcal{B}$ are continuous with respect to the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$ topology (also called weak*-topology), then $\mathcal{B}$ is called a *dual M-bimodule*. Finally, if M is a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual M-bimodule and for each $T \in \mathcal{B}$ the maps $M \ni x \mapsto xT \in \mathcal{B}$, $M \ni T \mapsto Tx \in \mathcal{B}$ are continuous from $(M)_1$ with the $\sigma(M, M_*)$-topology (also called ultraweak topology), then we say that the dual M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ is *normal*.

1.1. Definitions. 1° Let M be a von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ a Banach M-bimodule. An element $T \in \mathcal{B}$ is *smooth* (with respect to M) if the maps $x \mapsto xT$, $x \mapsto Tx$ are continuous from $(M)_1$ with the s^*-topology to $\mathcal{B}$ with the norm

topology. We denote by $s^*_M(\mathcal{B})$ (or simply $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ if no confusion is possible), the set of smooth elements $T \in \mathcal{B}$ and call it the *smooth part* of the M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$. The Banach M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ is *smooth* if $s^*(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$. A subset $\mathcal{S}$ of a Banach M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ is *uniformly smooth* if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a s^*-neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of 0 in M such that if $x \in (M)_1 \cap \mathcal{V}$, then $\|Tx\|, \|xT\| \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall T \in \mathcal{S}$. Note that if (M, τ) is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal trace τ (the case of most interest for us), then this amounts to the existence of some $\alpha > 0$ such that if $x \in (M)_1$, $\|x\|_2 \leq \alpha$, then $\|xT\|, \|Tx\| \leq \varepsilon$, where as usual $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$ denotes the Hilbert norm implemented by τ.

2° An M-bimodule is *operatorial* if for any $p \in \mathcal{P}(M) = \{p \in M \mid p = p^* = p^2\}$ and any $T \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $\|pTp + (1-p)T(1-p)\| = \max\{\|pTp\|, \|(1-p)T(1-p)\|\}$.

1.2. Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach M-bimodule and $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ a Banach sub-bimodule.*

1° *$s^*(\mathcal{B})$ is a Banach M-bimodule.*

2° *If $M_0 \subset M$ is a von Neumann subalgebra, then $s^*_M(\mathcal{B}) \subset s^*_{M_0}(\mathcal{B})$.*

3° *$s^*(\mathcal{B})/\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0)$.*

4° *If $\mathcal{B}$ is operatorial, then $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0$, with its quotient norm and M-bimodule structure, is an operatorial Banach M-bimodule.*

5° *The bidual $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ has a natural dual M-bimodule structure and if $\mathcal{B}$ is operatorial, then so is $\mathcal{B}^{**}$.*

6° *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a dual normal M-bimodule. Then its predual $\mathcal{B}_*$ has a natural Banach M-bimodule structure which is smooth (i.e. $s^*_M(\mathcal{B}_*) = \mathcal{B}_*$). But if $\mathcal{B}$ is operatorial, then the norm on $\mathcal{B}_*$ satisfies $\|\varphi(p \cdot p) + \varphi((1-p) \cdot (1-p))\| = \|\varphi(p \cdot p)\| + \|\varphi((1-p) \cdot (1-p))\|$, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_*$, $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, and thus it is not operatorial in general.*

Proof. 1° $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ is clearly closed to addition and scalar multiplication. Also, if $T_n \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$ and $\lim_n \|T_n - T\| = 0$ for some $T \in \mathcal{B}$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that $\|T - T_{n_0}\| \leq \varepsilon/2$. Also, since $T_{n_0} \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$, there exists an s^*-neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of 0 in M such that if $x \in M \cap \mathcal{V}$ then $\|xT_{n_0}\|, \|T_{n_0}x\| \leq \varepsilon/2$. Thus, for such x we also have $\|xT\| \leq \|xT_{n_0}\| + \|x(T - T_{n_0})\| \leq \varepsilon$. This shows that $T \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$.

If $\|xT\|, \|Tx\| \leq \varepsilon$ for $x \in \mathcal{V} \cap (M)_1$, for some s^*-neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of 0 in $(M)_1$, then in particular it holds true for $x \in \mathcal{V} \cap (M_0)_1$, for any subalgebra $M_0 \subset M$. Moreover, $\|xT\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}, \|Tx\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} \leq \varepsilon$ as well, proving 2° and 3°.

Parts $4^\circ, 5^\circ$ are trivial by the definitions. The fact that $s^*_M(\mathcal{B}_*) = \mathcal{B}_*$ in 6° is (Lemma 5 in [R]) and the last part of 6° is trivial.

□

1.3. Proposition. 1° *If M is embedded as a *-subalgebra in a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with $1_M = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $\mathcal{B}$ with its left and right multiplication by elements in M is an operatorial Banach M-bimodule and $s^*_M(\mathcal{B})$ is a hereditary C^*subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$ which is both an M-bimodule and an $M' \cap \mathcal{B}$-bimodule.*

2° *Assume $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a normal representation of M. Then the hereditary C^*-algebra $s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ contains the space of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and it is both an M-bimodule and an M'-bimodule.*

3° *With $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ as in 2° let $P \subset M$ be a von Neumann subalgebra and $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert subspace such that the projection p of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_0$ commutes with P. Then $ps^*_M(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))p \subset s^*_{Pp}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0))$, with equality if $P = M$.*

4° *If (M, τ) is a finite von Neumann algebra, $P \subset M$ is a von Neumann subalgebra and e_P denotes the orthogonal projection of L^2M onto L^2P, then for any $T \in s^*_M(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ we have $e_PT, Te_p \in s^*_M(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$. Also, with the usual identifications, we have $e_Ps^*_M(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))e_P = s^*_P(\mathcal{B}(L^2P))$.*

Proof. 1° If $T_1, T_2 \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$ and $x_i \in (M)_1$ converges s^* to 0, then $\|x_n(T_1T_2)\| \leq \|x_iT_1\|\|T_2\| \to 0$ and $\|(T_1T_2)x_i\| \leq \|T_1\|\|T_2x_i\| \to 0$, showing that $T_1T_2 \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$. Also, $\|x_iT_1^*\| = \|T_1x_i^*\| \to 0$, thus $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ is actually a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, if $T_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $0 \leq T_0 \leq T \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$, then $\|x_iT_0\| \leq \|x_iT\| \to 0$ and so $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ is hereditary.

The smoothness of an element is clearly invariant to left-right multiplication by elements commuting with M.

2° We in fact have that for any bounded net of operators $x_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ converging s^* to 0, and any $T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, $\lim_i \|x_iT\| = \lim_i \|Tx_i\| = 0$, so in particular $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$.

3° follows trivially from the fact that smoothness over P is invariant to left-right multiplication by elements in P'.

4° If $x_n \in (M)_1$ satisfies $\tau(x_n^*x_n) = \|x_n\|_2^2 \to 0$, then by using that $e_P(y) = E_P(y)e_P$, where E_P denotes the τ-preserving conditonal expectation of M onto P, and the fact that $E_P(x_n^*x_n)$ converges to 0 in the s^*-topology, we get:

$$\|x_ne_PT\|^2 = \|T^*e_Px_n^*x_ne_PT\| = \|T^*e_PE_P(x_n^*x_n)T\| \leq \|T\|\|E_P(x_n^*x_n)T\| \to 0.$$

Similarly $\|Te_Px_n\| \to 0$. The same calculation shows that if $T_0 \in s^*_P(\mathcal{B}(L^2P))$, then $e_PT_0e_P$ viewed as an element in $\mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ is smooth/M. Combined with 3°, this shows that $e_Ps^*_M(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))e_P = s^*_P(\mathcal{B}(L^2P))$. □

1.4. Lemma. *Let (M, τ) be a finite von Neumann algebra, $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a normal representation of M, $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert subspace and $p = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$.*

1° $p \in s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ *iff* $\lim_{\alpha\to 0}\sup\{\|x(\xi)\| \mid x \in (M)_1, \|x\|_2 \leq \alpha, \xi \in (\mathcal{H}_0)_1\} = 0$ *and iff* $\lim_n \|q_n p q_n\| = 0$ (*equivalently,* $\|(1-q_n)p(1-q_n)-p\| \to 0$), *for any sequence of projections* $\{q_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$ *that decrease to* 0.

2° *If* $\mathcal{H} = L^2M$, *then a sufficient condition for* p *to be in* $s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ *is that* $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \hat{M}$ *and* $\exists C > 0$ *such that* $\|x\| \leq C\|x\|_2$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

Proof. The first equivalence in 1° is just a reformulation of the condition of smoothness for the orthogonal projection $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$. If q_n are mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{P}(M)$, they converge to 0 in the strong operator topology, so $\|q_n p\| \to 0$, as required. Conversely, if this latter condition is satisfied for all sequence of orthogonal projections, then by (proof of Theorem in [P1]; see Lemma A.1 here enclosed), it follows that $\|x_n p\| \to 0$ for any sequence $\{x_n\}_n \subset (M)_1$ with $\tau(x_n^* x_n) \to 0$.

2° If $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \hat{M} \subset L^2M$, satisfies $\|x\|_2 \leq 1$ and we take $y \in M$, then $\|y(\hat{x})\|_2 = \|yx\|_2 \leq \|y\|_2\|x\| \leq C\|y\|_2$. This shows in particular that if $y \in (M)_1$ satisfies $\|y\|_2 \leq \alpha$ then $\|yp\| \leq C\alpha$, so p is smooth. □

1.5. Definition Let (M,τ) be a finite von Neumann algebra. An orthogonal projection p of $L^2(M)$ onto a Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^2M$ is *strongly smooth* (or *s-smooth*) if the condition $1.4.2^\circ$ is satisfied, i.e. there exists some $C > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq C\|x\|_2$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

The next two results provide a large variety of concrete examples of smooth and strongly smooth non-compact elements in the case M is a finite von Neumann algebra and the target bimodule is $\mathcal{B}(L^2M)$. We distinguish three remarkable classes of infinite rank smooth projections $p = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$:

(a) any "Gaussian Hilbert subspace" $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^2M$, along an arbitrary diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra A of M (see $1.6.2^\circ$ below);

(b) any "CAR Hilbert subspace" $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^2M$, along an arbitrary hyperfinite II_1 subfactor R of M (see $1.6.4^\circ$ below);

(c) any "free Hilbert subspace" $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^2M$, generated by unitaries in $\hat{M} \subset L^2M$ that are free independent (see $1.7.1^\circ$).

All these spaces are "thin" with respect to M, with the abelian "Gaussian directions" being particularly thin (they are smooth but not strongly smooth). It is quite interesting that s-smoothness is a purely non-commutative phenomenon, that only occurs when M is II_1.

We are grateful to Assaf Naor and Gideon Schechtman for their help with the Gaussian example $1.6.2^\circ$, and to Gilles Pisier for his help with the CAR example $1.6.4^\circ$, below.

1.6. Proposition. *Let* (M,τ) *be a finite von Neumann algebra and* $M \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ *its standard representation.*

1° *If M is atomic, then $s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M)) = \mathcal{K}(L^2M)$.*

2° *Assume M is diffuse and let $A \subset M$ be a separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra. Let $\{\xi_n\}_n \subset L^2(A,\tau)$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed real valued Gaussian random variables. If p denotes the orthogonal projection of L^2M onto the Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H}_0$ generated by $\{\xi_n\}_n$, then $p \in s^*_M(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$. Thus, $\mathcal{K}(L^2M) \subsetneq s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$.*

3° *If M is diffuse abelian and $\mathcal{H} = L^2M$, then any s-smooth projection $p \in \mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ must have finite rank.*

4° *Assume M is type II_1. If $\{u_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$ is a sequence of selfadjoint unitaries of trace 0 satisfying the canonical anticommutation relations (CAR) $u_iu_j = -u_ju_i$, $\forall i \neq j$, and we denote by $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^2M$ the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{u_n\}_n$, then the projection of L^2M onto $\mathcal{H}_0$ is s-smooth.*

Proof. 1° If M has finite dimensional center, then M is finite dimensional and the statement becomes trivial. If in turn $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ is infinite dimensional, then it follows isomorphic to $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ (because M has a faithful trace). Let $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be the atoms in $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ and $p_n = \Sigma_{i=1}^n e_k$. Then p_n are finite rank projections, i.e. $p_n \in \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(L^2M)$, and they increase to 1. Thus, if $T \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ is a smooth element, then $\|(1-p_n)T\| \to 0$, so in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ we have $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}} = \|(1-p_n)T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}} \leq \|(1-p_n)T\| \to 0$, showing that $T \in \mathcal{K}$.

2° By 1.3.4°, it is sufficient to prove that if $p_n \in A$ are projections with $\tau(p_n) \to 0$, then $\sup\{\|p_n\xi\|_2 \mid \xi = \xi^* \in (\mathcal{H}_0)_1\} \to 0$. Represent A as $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ with τ corresponding to the integral over the Haar measure μ. If $\xi = \Sigma_n c_n\xi_n$ with $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Sigma_n|c_n|^2 = 1$, then ξ is still a Gaussian with the same distribution as the ξ_n's. Since the L^2-norm of the restriction of a Gaussian ξ to the set $X_n = \{t \mid |\xi(t)| \geq n\}$ decays exponentially in n^2, it follows that the L^2-norm of the restriction of ξ to an arbitrary set $X \subset \mathbb{T}$ of measure $\mu(X) \leq \mu(X_n)$ is majorised by $\|\xi\chi_{X_n}\|_2$ and thus tends to 0 as n tends to ∞, independently of ξ.

3° It is well known that if $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$ has dimension $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ and satisfies the property that $\|x\| \leq C\|x\|_2$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}_0$, then $C \geq \sqrt{n}$ (see e.g. [Pi1]).

4° Since M is type II_1, it contains a copy of the hyperfinite II_1 factor R, which we can view as the weak closure of an increasing sequence of $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix algebras $B_n \subset R$. Moreover, by using the representation of $\cup_n B_n$ as the algebra of the canonical anticommutation relations (see e.g. [PoS]), we can find inside it selfadjoint unitaries $\{u_k\}_k$ with the properties: (a) $u_1, ..., u_n \in B_n$ and they generate B_n as an algebra; (b) $\tau(u_k) = 0$, $\forall k \geq 1$; (c) $u_iu_j = -u_ju_i$, $\forall i \neq j$. But then for any $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\Sigma_k|c_k|^2 < \infty$, the element $x = \Sigma_k c_k u_k$ satisfies the identities:

$$x^*x = (\Sigma_i c_i u_i)(\Sigma_j c_j u_j) = \Sigma_k c_k^2 + \Sigma_{i\neq j} c_i c_j u_i u_j$$
$$= \|x\|_2^2 1_M + \Sigma_{i<j} c_i c_j (u_iu_j + u_ju_i) = \|x\|_2^2 1_M.$$

Thus, $x^*x = \|x\|_2^2 1_M$. This shows that if we denote by $\mathcal{H}_n$ the span of $\{u_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, then for any $x = x^* \in \mathcal{H}_n$ we have $x^*x = \|x\|_2^2 1_M$, so in particular $\|x\| = \|x\|_2$. For arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{H}_n$ we thus get

$$\|x\| \leq \|\Re x\| + \|\Im x\| = \|\Re x\|_2 + \|\Im x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|_2.$$

Hence, if we let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be the closure of $\cup_n \mathcal{H}_n \subset L^2R \subset L^2M$, then $p = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ verifies condition 1.5 and is thus s-smooth. □

Recall that a subset S of a group Γ is *free*, if the subgroup generated by S is the free group with generators in S, $\mathbb{F}_S$.

1.7. Proposition. *With (M, τ) as in* 1.4*, assume $L(\Gamma) \subset M$ is a group von Neumann subalgebra. Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be an infinite subset and denote by p_S the orthogonal projection of L^2M onto the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_S$ having orthonormal basis $\{u_g \mid g \in S\}$.*

1° If S is a free subset of Γ, then p_S is s-smooth.

2° If p_S is s-smooth, then Γ is non-amenable.

Proof. 1° By [AO] and [B], if $S \subset \Gamma$ is a free set then for any $x \in \ell^2(S)$ we have $\|x\| \leq 2\|x\|_2$.

2° If Γ is amenable, then by Kesten's characterization of amenability in [Ke], for any $x = \Sigma_g c_g u_g \in L(\Gamma)$ with $c_g \geq 0$ and $\Sigma_g c_g = 1$, one has $\|x\| = 1$. This shows in particular that an element of the form $x_n = n^{-1}\Sigma_{i=1}^n u_{s_i}$, with $s_i \in S$, has norm $\|x_n\| = 1$ while $\|x_n\|_2 = n^{-1/2}$. Letting n tend to infinity shows that p_S cannot be s-smooth. □

1.8. Lemma. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ an operatorial Banach M-bimodule and $T \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$ a smooth element.*

1° Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a normal state φ on M and $\alpha > 0$ such that if $p_1, ..., p_n \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ is a partition of 1 with projections that commute with T and satisfy $\varphi(p_i) \leq \alpha$, $\forall i$, then $\|T\| \leq \varepsilon$.

2° If T commutes with a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset M$, then $T = 0$.

Proof. 1° Since T is smooth, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a normal state φ on M and $\alpha > 0$, such that if $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ satisfies $\varphi(p) \leq \alpha$, then $\|pT\| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, if $p_1, ..., p_n \in M$ is a partition of 1 with projections satisfying $\varphi(p_i) \leq \alpha$, $\forall i$, by using the fact that $T = (\Sigma_i p_i)T = \Sigma_i p_i T p_i$, it follows that

$$\|T\| = \|\Sigma_i p_i T p_i\| = \max_i \|p_i T p_i\| \leq \max_i \|p_i T\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

2° This is now immediate from part 1°, because B diffuse implies that for any normal state φ on M and any $\alpha > 0$ there exist partitions of 1 in B of φ-mesh less than α. □

2. Derivations into smooth bimodules

2.1. Definition. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach M-bimodule. A *derivation* of M into $\mathcal{B}$ is a linear map $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ satisfying the property $\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y$, $\forall x, y \in M$. Recall from [R] that any derivation is automatically continuous from M with the operator norm topology to $\mathcal{B}$ with its norm topology. We say that δ is *smooth*, if it is continuous from $(M)_1$ with the s^*-topology to $\mathcal{B}$ with its norm topology. Thus, if M is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, this amounts to the continuity of δ from $(M)_1$ with the Hilbert norm $\| \ \|_2$ given by τ, to $\mathcal{B}$ with its norm.

If $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual M-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ is a derivation, then we say that δ is *weakly continuous*, if it is continuous from the unit ball of M with the ultraweak topology (i.e., the $\sigma(M, M_*)$-topology) to $\mathcal{B}$ with its w*-topology (i.e., the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-topology). Recall from [R] that if the dual M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ is normal, then any derivation of M into $\mathcal{B}$ is automatically weakly continuous in this sense.

If δ is a derivation of M in a Banach M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$, then we denote by K_δ^0 the norm closure of the convex hull of $\{\delta(u)u^* \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(M)\}$. If in addition $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual M-bimodule, then we denote by K_δ the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-closure in $\mathcal{B}$ of K_δ^0. More generally, if B is a von Neumann subalgebra of M, we denote by $K_{\delta,B}$ the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$ closure in $\mathcal{B}$ of the convex hull of $\mathrm{co}\{\delta(u)u^* \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(B)\}$. Since $\|\delta(v)v^*\| \leq \|\delta\|$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, it follows that $K_\delta, K_{\delta,B}$ are $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-compact subsets of the ball of radius $\|\delta\|$ of $\mathcal{B}$.

We will prove in this section that smooth valued derivations of von Neumann algebras can be "integrated in abelian directions".

2.2. Proposition. *Assume $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ is a smooth derivation of a von Neumann algebra M into a Banach M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$. Then we have:*

1° δ is continuous from the unit ball of M with the ultraweak topology to $\mathcal{B}$ with the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^*)$ topology (i.e., δ is weakly continuous as a derivation of M into the dual M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}^{**}$).

2° If $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual M-bimodule, then δ is weakly continuous.

3° If M is finite then $\delta(M) \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$. If in addition $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual M-bimodule, then K_δ is a uniformly smooth subset of $s^*(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. 1° If $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous functional, then $(M)_1 \ni x \mapsto \varphi(\delta(x)) \in \mathbb{C}$ is continuous with respect to the s^*-topology, and thus also continuous with respect to the ultraweak topology on $(M)_1$. This shows that δ is weakly continuous as a derivation into $\mathcal{B}^{**}$.

2° follows now from 1°.

3° If M is finite, then a basis of neighborhoods of 0 in the s^*-topology is given by $\| \ \|_2$-neighborhoods with respect to traces on M. Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there

exists a normal trace τ on M and $\alpha > 0$ such that if $y \in (M)_1$, $\|y\|_2 \leq \alpha$ then $\|\delta(y)\| \leq \varepsilon/2$. Thus, if we take an arbitrary $x \in (M)_1$ and $y \in (M)_1$ with $\|y\|_2 \leq \alpha$, then $y\delta(x) = \delta(yx) - \delta(y)x$, so that $\|y\delta(x)\| \leq \|\delta(yx)\| + \|\delta(y)x\| \leq \varepsilon$ (because $\|yx\|_2 \leq \alpha$). Similarly, $\|\delta(x)y\| \leq \varepsilon$.

This shows that $\delta(M) \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$. It also shows that if $\alpha_0 > 0$ is so that $x \in (M)_1$ $\|x\|_2 \leq \alpha_0$ implies $\|\delta(x)\| \leq \varepsilon_0/2$, then for any $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ we have $\|x\delta(u)u^*\| \leq \|\delta(x)\| + \|\delta(xu)\| \leq \varepsilon_0$. Thus, if in addition $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual M-bimodule, by the inferior semicontinuity of the norm on $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-topology, it follows that $\|xT\| \leq \varepsilon_0$, $\forall T \in K_\delta$. Similarly, $\|Tx\| \leq \varepsilon_0$, $\forall T \in K_\delta$. Hence, $K_\delta \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ and K_δ is uniformly smooth.

□

2.3. Lemma. *Let B be an amenable von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ a dual B-bimodule and $\delta : B \to \mathcal{B}$ a derivation. If either $\mathcal{B}$ is normal over B, or if B is abelian, then there exists $T \in K_\delta$ such that $\delta(b) = Tb - bT, \forall b \in B$.*

Proof. Recall from [R] that δ is automatically norm continuous.

For each $T \in \mathcal{B}$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, we let $\mathcal{T}_u(T) = uTu^* + \delta(u)u^*$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual B-bimodule, each affine transformation $\mathcal{T}_u$ follows $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-continuous on $\mathcal{B}$. Noticing that if $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, then $\mathcal{T}_u(\delta(v)v^*) = \delta(uv)(uv)^*$, by taking convex combinations of elements of the form $\delta(v)v^*$, and then $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-closure, this shows that $\mathcal{T}_u(K_\delta) \subset K_\delta$ $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. Moreover, we clearly have $T_{u_1} \circ T_{u_2} = T_{u_1 u_2}$, $\forall u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}(B)$.

Since B is amenable, there exists an amenable subgroup $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}(B)$, such that $\mathcal{U}_0'' = B$. Moreover, if B is abelian, then we can take $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}(B)$. By the Markov-Kakutani fixed point theorem applied to the amenable group of affine transformations $\{\mathcal{T}_u \mid u \in \mathcal{U}_0\}$ of the bounded $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-compact convex set K_δ, we get some $T \in K_\delta$ with the property that $\mathcal{T}_u(T) = T$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}_0$. Thus, $uTu^* + \delta(u)u^* = T$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}_0$, implying that $\delta(u) = Tu - uT$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}_0$. So if B is abelian and $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}(B)$, then $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$ on all B.

If in turn B is merely amenable but $\mathcal{B}$ is assumed normal/B, then by [R] δ follows automatically weakly continuous, and by using the fact that $\mathrm{sp}\mathcal{U}_0$ is weakly dense in B and that $\mathrm{ad}T$ is weakly continuous as well (by normality/B of $\mathcal{B}$), it follows that $\delta(u) = Tb - bT$, $\forall b \in B$. □

2.4. Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a dual M-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ a smooth derivation. If $A \subset M$ is an abelian von Neumann subalgebra then there exists $T \in K_{\delta,A} \subset K_\delta \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\delta(a) = Ta - aT, \forall a \in A$.*

Proof. This is now immediate from Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.2. □

We will now derive the main result of this section, showing that smooth valued derivations are inner on abelian and finite atomic von Neumann subalgebras. The proof follows the idea and line of proof of the case the target bimodule is the ideal of compact operators, in [JP]:

2.5. Theorem. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ a dual normal operatorial M-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ a derivation. Assume that δ takes values into a Banach sub-bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$.*

1° If $A \subset M$ is an abelian von Neumann subalgebra, then there exists $T \in K_{\delta,A} \cap \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\delta(a) = \mathrm{ad}T(a)$, $\forall a \in A$.

2° If $B \subset M$ is an atomic von Neumann subalgebra, then there exists a unique $T \in K_{\delta,B}$ such that $\delta(b) = \mathrm{ad}T(b)$, $\forall b \in B$, and this T lies in $\mathcal{B}_0$.

Proof. 1° By Lemma 2.3, there exists $T \in K_{\delta,A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $\delta(a) = Ta - aT$, $\forall a \in A$. Assume that $T \notin \mathcal{B}_0$, in other words $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} > 0$.

Note that for any projection $p \in A$ we have $pT - Tp \in \mathcal{B}_0$, and thus $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|pTp + (1-p)T(1-p)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$. Note also that $\mathcal{B}$ operatorial implies that $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0$ is operatorial, i.e., $\|pTp + (1-p)T(1-p)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \max\{\|pTp\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}, \|(1-p)T(1-p)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}\}$, $\forall T \in \mathcal{B}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all projections $p \in A$ with the property that $\|pTp\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$. Assume $\mathcal{P}$ has a minimal projection e. If e is even minimal in A, i.e., $Ae = \mathbb{C}e$, then for any $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ we have:

$$e\delta(u)u^*e = \delta(eu)u^*e - \delta(e)e = z\delta(e)\overline{z}e - \delta(e)e = 0,$$

where $z \in \mathbb{T}$ is so that $eu = ze$. This implies that $eT'e = 0$ for any $T' \in K_{\delta,A}$, so in particular $eTe = 0$, contradicting the fact that $e \in \mathcal{P}$.

Thus, e is not minimal in A. But then, any non-zero projection $f \in Ae$ with $f \neq e$, satisfies $f, e - f \notin \mathcal{P}$, so

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|fTf + (e-f)T(e-f)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$$
$$= \max\{\|fTf\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}, \|(e-f)T(e-f)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}\} < \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0},$$

a contradiction.

This shows that $\mathcal{P}$ cannot have minimal projections. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a maximal chain in $\mathcal{P}$ and denote f_0 the infimum over all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ has no minimal projections, $f_0 \notin \mathcal{P}$, i.e. $\|f_0Tf_0\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} < \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$. Since

$$\max\{\|(f-f_0)T(f-f_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}, \|f_0Tf_0\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}\}$$
$$= \|(f-f_0)T(f-f_0) + f_0Tf_0\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|fTf\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0},$$

it follows that $\|(f-f_0)T(f-f_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$, or equivalently $\|f'Tf'\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$, $\forall f' \in \mathcal{F}'$. Thus, $\mathcal{F}'$ is a chain in $\mathcal{P}$ with $\inf\mathcal{F}' = 0$.

Using this fact, we construct recursively a decreasing sequence of projections $f'_k \in \mathcal{F}'$, such that $\|(f'_k - f'_{k+1})T(f'_k - f'_{k+1})\| > c$, $\forall k \geq 1$, where $c = (\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} - \|f_0Tf_0\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0})/2 > 0$.

Assume $f'_1, ..., f'_n \in \mathcal{F}'$ have been constructed to satisfy this property for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Since $\mathcal{F}'$ is a chain decreasing to 0 and $\|f'_nTf'_n\| \geq 2c$ (because $f'_n \in \mathcal{F}'$), by the normality of the bimodule structure on $\mathcal{B}$ and the inferior semicontinuity with respect to the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_*)$-topology of the norm on $\mathcal{B}$, it follows that there exists $f' \in \mathcal{F}'$, $f' \leq f'_n$, such that $\|(f'_n - f')Tf'_n\| > \|f'_nTf'_n\|/2 \geq c$. Applying the same reasoning on the right hand side, if follows that there exists a projection $f'' \in \mathcal{F}'$, such that $f'' \leq f'$ and $\|(f'_n - f')T(f'_n - f'')\| > c$. Since $\|(f'_n - f'')T(f'_n - f'')\| = \|f'_n - f'\|\|(f'_n - f'')T(f'_n - f'')\| \geq \|(f'_n - f')T(f'_n - f'')\| > c$, the projection $f'_{n+1} = f''$ satisfies the required condition for $k = n$.

We have thus constructed a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections $e_n = f'_n - f'_{n+1} \in A$, $n \geq 1$, such that $\|e_nTe_n\| > c$, $\forall n \geq 1$. Since $T \in K_{\delta,A}$, it follows that for each n, there exists $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, such that $\|e_n\delta(u_n)u_n^*e_n\| > c$. Let now $u \in \mathcal{A}$ be defined by $u = (1 - \Sigma_n e_n) + \Sigma_n u_n e_n$. Then $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, $ue_n = u_n, \forall n$, and we have

$$e_n\delta(u)u^*e_n = e_n\delta(e_nu)u_n^*e_n - e_n\delta(e_n)e_n = e_n\delta(u_n)u_n^*e_n,$$

where we have used the fact that for any projection $p \in M$ we have $p\delta(p)p = 0$ (because $p\delta(p)p = p\delta(p^2)p = 2p\delta(p)p$). Thus, $\|e_n\delta(u)u^*e_n\| > c$, $\forall n$, contradicting the fact that $\delta(u)u^* \in s^*(\mathcal{B})$.

Thus, the assumption $T \notin \mathcal{B}_0$ led us to a contradiction. This shows that $T \in \mathcal{B}_0$, proving 1°.

2° Let $B = \oplus_{k\in J}B_k$, with each B_k a finite dimensional factor, with matrix units $\{e^k_{ij}\}_{i,j}$. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_k$ the group of unitaries in B_k that are sums of elements of the form $\pm e^k_{ij}$. Also, for each finite set of indices $F \subset J$, denote $\mathcal{V}_F = \oplus_{k\in F}\mathcal{V}_k \oplus \mathbb{C}(1 - s_F)$, where $s_F = \Sigma_{k\in F}s_k$, with $s_k = 1_{B_k}$ the support projection of B_k.

We let $\mathcal{V} = \cup_F\mathcal{V}_F$, the union being over the finite subsets $F \subset J$. Note that $\mathcal{V}$ is a locally finite group (thus amenable) with $\mathcal{V}'' = B$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists $T \in K_{\delta,B}$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$ on B. We will prove that $T \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

Like in the proof of part 1° above, assume $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} > 0$ and consider the set $\mathcal{P}$ of all central projections $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}(B))$ with the property that $\|pTp\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$. If $e \in \mathcal{P}$ would be a minimal projection in $\mathcal{P}$, then $s_k \leq e$ for some k. But $s_kTs_k \in \mathcal{B}_0$. Indeed, this is because for each $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ we have $s_k\delta(u)u^*s_k = s_k\delta(s_ku)u^*s_k - s_k\delta(s_k)s_k = s_k\delta(s_ku)u^*s_k$ and us_k run over the unitary group

$\mathcal{U}(B)s_k = \mathcal{U}(B_k)$, which is compact in the (operator) norm of B. Thus, $s_k K_{\delta,B} s_k = s_k K^0_{\delta,B} s_k \subset \mathcal{B}_0$. But then $\|(e-s_k)T(e-s_k)\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|eTe\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0}$, contradicting the minimality of e.

But if $\mathcal{P}$ does not have a minimal projections, then one can proceed exactly like in the argument in 1° above, to get a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections $e_n \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$ such that $\|e_n T e_n\| > c > 0$, $\forall n$. This implies that for each n there exists a unitary element $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ such that $\|e_n \delta(u_n) u_n^* e_n\| > c$. Same way as in 1°, the unitary element $u = \Sigma_n u_n e_n + (1 - \Sigma e_n) \in B$ will then satisfy $e_n \delta(u) u^* e_n = e_n \delta(u_n) u_n^* e_n$, so that on the one hand we have $\|e_n \delta(u) u^* e_n\| = \|e_n \delta(u_n) u_n^* e_n\| \geq c$, $\forall n$, but on the other hand, by smoothness of $\delta(u)u^* \in \mathcal{B}_0$, we have $\lim_n \|e_n \delta(u) u^* e_n\| = 0$, a contradiction.

Now, to prove the uniqueness of such a $T \in K_{\delta,B}$ implementing δ on B, notice that $s_k T s_k$ is in the norm closure of the convex hull of $\{s_k \delta(v) v^* s_k \mid v \in \mathcal{V}_k\}$. But if we denote like in the proof of 2.3 by T_v the transformation of $K = s_k K_{\delta,B} s_k$ given by $K \ni \xi \mapsto T_v(\xi) = v\xi v^* + s_k \delta(v) v^* s_k$, then for each $\xi \in K$ the map $K \ni \xi \mapsto \pi(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{V}_k} T_v(\xi) \mathrm{d}\mu(v)$, where μ is the Haar measure on $\mathcal{V}_k$, satisfies $\pi(T_{v'}(\xi)) = \pi(\xi)$, for any $v' \in \mathcal{V}_k$, because of the invariance of the Haar measure. By taking convex combinations and norm closure, this shows that π has a single point range. Since the operator T implements δ, we also have $T_v(s_k T s_k) = s_k T s_k$, so $\pi(s_k T s_k) = s_k T s_k$, thus showing that any $T \in K_{\delta,B}$ that implements δ coincides with the single point $\pi(K)$ under s_k.

□

2.6. Remark. Note that there are only two places in the above proof where we used the fact that the dual operatorial bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ is normal: (a) to deduce in part 1° that if a net $p_i \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ increases to some projection $p \in A$, then $\sup_i \|p_i T\| = \|pT\|$, $\sup_i \|T p_i\| = \|Tp\|$, where $T \in \mathcal{B}$ is an element in K_δ that implements δ on the abelian von Neumann subalgebra A; (b) to deduce in part 2° that since δ and $\mathrm{ad}T$ are weakly continuous, if they coincide on the *-subalgebra $\mathrm{sp}\mathcal{V}$, which is weakly dense in the amenable von Neumann algebra B, then they must coincide on all B. Related to this, one should note that in case $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual operatorial M-bimodule (not necessarily normal), but δ is assumed smooth, then K_δ is a uniformly smooth subset of $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ (by 2.2.3°), and since T in (a) and (b) lies in K_δ, it will still satisfy both properties. We will use this observation in the proof of Theorem 3.8.

3. Vanishing 1-cohomology results

We show in this section that once a smooth valued derivation δ is implemented by a smooth element on a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra satisfying some very weak regularity properties, then that element automatically implements δ on

the entire von Neumann algebra.

We will also prove that smooth valued derivations are automatically smooth, i.e. if $\delta : M \to s^*(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$, then δ is continuous from $(M)_1$ with the s^*-topology to $\mathcal{B}$ with its norm topology.

Note that these results can be formulated in terms of properties of the 1-cohomolgy of M with coefficients in the smooth part of a bimodule $\mathcal{B}$. Thus, if M is a von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach M-bimodule, then we denote by $Z^1(M,\mathcal{B})$ (respectively $Z^1_s(M,\mathcal{B})$) the space of derivations (resp. smooth derivations) of M into $\mathcal{B}$, by $B^1(M,\mathcal{B})$ (resp. $B^1_s(M,\mathcal{B})$) the subspace of inner derivations (resp. derivations implemented by smooth elements of $\mathcal{B}$) and by $H^1(M,\mathcal{B}) = Z^1(M,\mathcal{B})/B^1(M,\mathcal{B})$ (resp. $H^1_s(M,\mathcal{B}) = Z^1_s(M,\mathcal{B})/B^1_s(M,\mathcal{B})$) the corresponding quotient space.

With this terminology, our results show that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a dual normal operatorial M-bimodule, then $Z^1(M,s^*(\mathcal{B})) = Z^1_s(M,\mathcal{B})$, $H^1(M,s^*(\mathcal{B})) = H^1_s(M,\mathcal{B})$ (M arbitrary), and that if $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$, then $H^1(M,\mathcal{B}_0) = 0$ whenever M contains a diffuse abelian subalgebra that satisfies the weak regularity property. We will also prove that, under this same regularity condition for M, if $\mathcal{B}$ is an arbitrary smooth operatorial Banach M-bimodule (not necessarily dual normal), then $H^1_s(M,\mathcal{B}) = 0$, i.e., any smooth derivation of M into $\mathcal{B}$ is inner.

The weak regularity property that we consider is in the spirit of a similar concept considered for groups in (Definition 2.3 of [P5]; see also 1.2 in [IPP] for a related concept):

3.1. Definition. Let B be a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra of a II_1 factor M. Consider the well ordered family of intermediate von Neumann subalgebras $B = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset ... \subset B_\jmath \subset ...$ of M constructed recursively, by transfinite induction, in the following way: (a) for each $\jmath$, $B_{\jmath+1}$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ with $vB_\jmath v^* \cap B_\jmath$ diffuse; (b) if $\jmath$ has no "predecessor", then $B_\jmath = \overline{\cup_{n<\jmath} B_n}^w$. Notice that if $\imath_0$ is the first ordinal of cardinality $|M|$, then this family is constant from $\imath_0$ on. Let $\imath$ be the first ordinal for which $B_{\imath+1} = B_\imath$. The algebra $B_\imath$ is by definition the *wq-normalizer algebra* of B in M. If the wq-normalizer of B in M is equal to M, then we say that B is *wq-regular* in M. If the wq-normalizer of B in M is equal to B, we also say that B is *wq-malnormal* in M.

As in (2.3 of [P5]), we can alternatively characterize the wq-normalizer as follows:

3.2. Lemma. *Let $B \subset M$ be a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra and N its wq-normalizer. If an intermediate von Neumann algebra $B \subset Q \subset M$ satisfies the property that any $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ with $vQv^* \cap Q$ diffuse, must lie in Q, then Q contains N. Thus, the wq-normalizer of B in M is the smallest von Neumann subalgebra $N \subset M$ containing B with the property that there exist no $v \in \mathcal{U}(M) \setminus N$ with*

$vNv^* \cap N$ diffuse, or equivalently, the smallest wq-malnormal subalgebra of M that contains B.

Proof. Let $B_0 \subset ... \subset B_\iota = N$ be constructed by transfinite induction as in 3.1, with B_ι the first ordinal having the property that $B_{\iota+1} = B_\iota$. Assume we have shown that $B_\jmath \subset Q$ for some $\jmath < \iota$. If $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ satisfies $vB_\jmath v^* \cap B_\jmath$ diffuse, then $v \in B_{\jmath+1}$ by the definition of $B_{\jmath+1}$. But since Q contains $B_\jmath$ we also have $vQv^* \cap Q$ diffuse, so $v \in Q$. This shows that $B_{\jmath+1} \subset Q$. Also, if $\jmath \leq \iota$ has no predecessor and $B_n \subset Q$, $\forall n < \jmath$, then the weak closure of $\cup_{n<\jmath} B_n$ is contained in Q. Altogether, these facts imply that $B_\iota \subset Q$. □

3.3. Lemma. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ a dual normal Banach M-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ a derivation. If δ vanishes on two von Neumann subalgebras $N_1, N_2 \subset M$, then it vanishes on the von Neumann algebra $N_1 \vee N_2$, generated by N_1, N_2. Thus, there exists a maximal von Neumann subalgebra of M on which δ vanishes, which we will denote by M_δ.*

Proof. Since if δ is equal to 0 on $x, y \in M$ it is also 0 on the product xy, it follows that δ vanishes on the algebra generated by N_1, N_2, which is a *-algebra. By the weak continuity of δ, it follows that δ also vanishes on $N_1 \vee N_2$. □

3.4. Lemma. *With $M, \mathcal{B}, \delta$ as in 3.3, assume $\delta(M) \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$. Let $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$.*

1° If $vM_\delta v^* \cap M_\delta$ is diffuse, then $v \in M_\delta$. Thus, M_δ is wq-malnormal in M.

2° Assume M is of type II_1 with a faithful normal trace τ. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if $vA_0v^* \subset M_\delta$ for some α-partition $A_0 \subset M_\delta$, then $\|\delta(v)\| \leq \varepsilon$. Also, if $x = x^* \in M$ is so that $\{x\}' \cap M_\delta^\omega$ is diffuse, then $x \in M_\delta$.

Proof. 1° This is immediate from lemma 1.8.2°, once we notice that if $x \in M_\delta$ satisfies $vxv^* \in M_\delta$, then $T = \delta(v)v^*$ commutes with x.

2° The first part follows from 1.8.1°, and it implies trivially the last part.

□

3.5. Theorem. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ a dual normal operatorial Banach M-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ a derivation that takes values in a Banach sub-bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$, of the smooth part of $\mathcal{B}$.*

1° δ is automatically smooth, with K_δ a uniformly smooth subset of $s^*(\mathcal{B})$.

2° Let $M = N_0 \oplus N_1$ with N_0 finite atomic of M and N_1 having no finite atomic direct summand. Let $B \subset M$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of the form $N_0 \oplus B_1$, with $B_1 \subset N_1$ a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra. Then any $T \in K_\delta$ that implements δ on B, must lie in $\mathcal{B}_0$.

3° Let $M = Q_0 \oplus Q_1$ be the decomposition of M with Q_1 of type II_1 with atomic center and Q_0 having no II_1 factor as direct summand. Given any abelian von

Neumann subalgebra $A_1 \subset Q_1$, if we denote $Q = Q_0 \oplus A_1$, then there exists $T \in K_{\delta,Q} \cap \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\mathrm{ad}T = \delta$ *on* Q.

Proof. 1° Note first that by Theorem 2.5, δ is implemented by an element in $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ on any given abelian von Neumann subalgebra of M. Since $\mathrm{ad}T$ is smooth and takes values in $\mathcal{B}_0$ for any $T \in \mathcal{B}_0$, by subtracting such an "inner" derivation from δ, it follows that given any abelian von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset M$, we may assume $\delta = 0$ on A.

If $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ with M_0 properly infinite and M_1 finite, then $M_0 \simeq N_0 \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbb{N})$. From the above, it follows that we may assume $\delta = 0$ on a diffuse MASA $A_0 \subset 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbb{N})$. But such a MASA is regular in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbb{N})$ and in fact, since its commutant contains N_0, it is regular in M_0 as well. So by 3.4.1° it follows that $\delta = 0$ on all M_0.

We are thus reduced to proving that δ is smooth on M_1, i.e., we may assume M is a finite von Neumann algebra. By 2.5, by subtracting if necessary an inner derivation implemented by a smooth element, we may also assume δ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(M)$. Let $\{p_i\}_i \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}(M))$ be a net of central projections increasing to 1 such that Mp_i has a faithful normal trace. We already know that for any sequence of mutually orthogonal projections $e_n \in Mp_i$ we have $\lim_n \|\delta(e_n)\| = 0$ (this is because δ is implemented by elements in $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ on any abelian von Neumann subalgebra of M, in particular on the von Neumann algebra generated by $\{e_n\}_n$). By Lemma A.1 in the Appendix, it follows that δ is smooth on each Mp_i. Note now that $\lim_i \|\delta(x(1-p_i))\| = 0$ uniformly in $x \in (M)_1$. Indeed, for if there exists $c > 0$ such that for all i, there exists $j > i$ and $x_j \in (M)_1$ with $\|\delta(x_j(1-p_j))\| \geq c > 0$ then we can find an increasing sequence of indices $i_1 < i_2 ...$ in M such that $\|\delta(x_{i_n}(p_{i_n} - p_{i_{n+1}}))\| \geq c/2$. But then $x = \Sigma_n x_{i_n}(p_{i_n} - p_{i_{n+1}}) \in M$ and the mutually orthogonal projections $f_n = p_{i_n} - p_{i_{n+1}}$ satisfy $\|f_n \delta(x)\| \geq c/2$, $\forall n$, contradicting the smoothness of $\delta(x)$.

2° By Theorem 2.5, there exists $T_0 \in K_\delta \cap \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T_0$ on $B \subset M$. But then $\delta_0 = \delta - \mathrm{ad}T_0$ still takes values in $\mathcal{B}_0$, and it vanishes on B. By part 1°, δ is smooth so by Proposition 2.2, $T \in K_\delta$ is smooth. Thus, since $T - T_0$ is both smooth and it commutes with B, we have $T = T_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

3° By 2.5.2°, we may assume δ vanishes on the finite atomic part of M as well as on a diffuse regular subalgebra of a copy of $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbb{N})$ that splits off the properly infinite summand of M. Arguing like in part 1°, it follows that δ vanishes on Q_0 and on A_1. □

3.6. Definition. Let M be a II_1 factor and $N \subset M$ a von Neumann subalgebra. We say that N has *property* (C') in M (or that $N \subset M$ satisfies (C')) if the following

holds true: For any finite set $F \subset N$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $V \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$ finite with the following properties:

(a) $\|x - E_{V''}(x)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall x \in F$;

(b) There exist diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebras $A_v \subset \{v\}' \cap M^\omega$, $v \in V$, that mutually commute, i.e. $aa' = a'a$, $\forall a \in A_v, a' \in A_{v'}$, $v, v' \in V$.

If $M \subset M$ has (C'), we simply say that M has property (C'). Note that this property for M is weaker than property (C) of M, as defined in [P2].

It is trivial by the definition that any abelian von Neumann subalgebra of M has property (C') in M. More generally, it is easy to see that any amenable von Neumann subalgebra $N \subset M$ has property (C') in M. It has been shown in [P2] that if M either has a Cartan subalgebra or has property (Γ) of [MvN2], then M has property (C), and thus (C') as well. Finally, note that property (C') is hereditary: if $N_0 \subset N \subset M$ and $N \subset M$ has (C'), then so does $N_0 \subset M$.

3.7. Theorem. *Let M be a II_1 factor, $\mathcal{B}$ a dual normal operatorial M-bimodule, $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ a Banach sub-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0$ a derivation. If M has a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra N which has the property (C') in M and is wq-regular in M, then there exists $T \in K_\delta \cap \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\delta(x) = \mathrm{ad}T(x)$, $\forall x \in M$.*

Proof. We first prove that given any finite set $F = F^* \subset N$ there exists $T = T(F) \in K_\delta$ such that $\delta(x) = \mathrm{ad}T(x)$, $\forall x \in F$, and thus for all x in von Neumann algebra $M_F \subset M$ generated by F.

By the property (C') of $N \subset M$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $V = V(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$ with the property that $x \in_\varepsilon V''$ and such that for any $\alpha > 0$ there exist mutually commuting finite dimensional abelian subalgebras $A_v \subset M$ with all atoms of trace at most α, such that $\|v - E_{A_v' \cap M}(v)\|_2 \leq \alpha$, $\forall v \in V$. Let $A = \vee_v A_v$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by $A_v, v \in V$, and let $S = S(V, \alpha) \in K_{\delta, A}$ be the (unique) element implementing δ on A. By Theorem 3.5, we have $\|\delta(v - E_{A_v' \cap M})\| \leq \alpha'$, with $\alpha' \to 0$ as $\alpha \to 0$. Since $\delta - \mathrm{ad}S$ is A-bimodular, if we denote by $\{e_k\}_k$ the minimal projections of A, it follows that $(\delta - \mathrm{ad}(S))(E_{A' \cap M}(v)) = \Sigma_k e_k(\delta(v) - [S, v])e_k$. Since $\delta(v) - [S, v] \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is fixed in the process of taking A_v (and thus A) with mesh α as small as we like, if we take α sufficiently small then by 1.8.1° we get $\|(\delta - \mathrm{ad}(S))(E_{A_v' \cap M}(v))\| \leq \alpha'$, $\forall v \in V$. Altogether, we get the estimates

$$\|(\delta - \mathrm{ad}S)(v)\| \leq \|\delta(v - E_{A_v' \cap M})\| + \|(\delta - \mathrm{ad}(S))(E_{A_v' \cap M}(v))\| \leq 2\alpha', \forall v \in V$$

Taking α_n so that $\alpha' \leq 2^{-n-1}$ and denoting $S_n = S(V, \alpha_n)$, we have thus constructed a sequence $S_n \in K_\delta$ with the property that $\|(\delta - \mathrm{ad}S_n)(v)\| \leq 2^{-n}$, $\forall v \in V$. Thus, if we let S' be a weak limit point of $\{S_n\}_n$, then $S' \in K_\delta$ and

$\delta(v) = \mathrm{ad}(S')$, $\forall v \in V$. Since δ and $\mathrm{ad}S'$ are weakly continuous, this shows that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}S'$ on the whole von Neumann algebra generated by V.

Take now $\varepsilon = 2^{-n}$ and $V_n \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$ be a finite set satisfying $\|x - E_{V_n''}(x)\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}$, $\forall x \in F$, and property 3.6(b). Denote by T_n the corresponding element $S'(V_n, 2^{-n}) \in K_\delta$ with the property that δ coincides with $\mathrm{ad}T_n$ on the von Neumann subalgebra $Q_n = V_n''$ satisfying $\|x - E_{Q_n}(x)\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}$, $\forall x \in F$. Let now T be a weak limit point of $\{T_n\}_n$. Since $T_n \in K_\delta$ and K_δ is uniformly smooth, $\delta - \mathrm{ad}T_n$ are uniformly smooth and thus

$$\|(\delta - \mathrm{ad}T_n)(x)\| = \|(\delta - \mathrm{ad}T_n)(x - E_{Q_n}(x))\| \to 0, \forall x \in F,$$

implying that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$ on $F = F^*$. Hence, $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$ on the whole von Neumann algebra generated by F.

With this at hand, we finally take a weak limit point of the net $\{T(F)\}_F$, indexed by the finite subsets $F = F^* \subset N$, to obtain an element $T \in K_\delta$ that implements δ on all N. By Lemma 3.4, it follows that T actually implements δ on the wq-normalizer of N in M, thus on M. At the same time, if A_0 is a diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra of M then by 3.5 there exists $S_0 \in K_\delta \cap \mathcal{B}_0$ implementing δ on A_0. Thus, $T - S_0$ is smooth and commutes with A_0, showing that $T = S_0 \in K_\delta \cap \mathcal{B}_0$.

□

3.8. Theorem. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra such that any II_1 factor summand of M has a diffuse, wq-normal subalgebra N with the property (C') in M. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a smooth operatorial Banach M-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ a smooth derivation. Then δ is implemented by an element $T \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$.*

Proof. We can view δ as a (smooth) derivation of M into the bidual $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ of $\mathcal{B}$, taking valuse in the closed sub-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ of $s^*(\mathcal{B}^{**})$. Note that by 1.2.4° is a dual operatorial M-bimodule. By Proposition 2.2, since δ is smooth, $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}^{**}$ is weakly continuous.

Let $A \subset N$ be a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra. Thus, by Corollary 2.4, δ is implemented on A by some $T_0 \in K_\delta \subset \mathcal{B}^{**}$, where K_δ is the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}^{**}, \mathcal{B}^*)$ closure in $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ of $K_\delta^0 \subset \mathcal{B}$. By smoothness of δ, T_0 is smooth in B^{**} (i.e. it belongs to $s^*(\mathcal{B}^{**})$), so by the proof of Theorem 2.5 (see Remark 2.6), we actually have $T_0 \in \mathcal{B}$.

From this point on, the argument in the proof of 3.7 goes unchanged, to deduce that there exists $T \in K_\delta$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$ on N, then by wq-normality, on all M. Since adT coincides with $\mathrm{ad}T_0$ on a diffuse subalgebra, by 1.8.2°, we actually have $T = T_0 \in \mathcal{B}$.

□

3.9. Remark As we mentioned at the beginning of this section, Theorems 3.7, 3.8 can be viewed as vanishing 1-cohomology results, showing that for II_1 factors M the 1-cohomology with values in a closed submodule $\mathcal{B}_0$ of the smooth part of a dual normal operatorial M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$, $H^1(M, \mathcal{B}_0)$ (respectively the smooth 1st cohomology $H^1_s(M, \mathcal{B})$) vanishes as soon as M satisfies some rather weak decomposability properties. In particular, this is the case if M has a Cartan subalgebra, more generally if M has a diffuse amenable subalgebra whose quasi-normalizer generates M. As well as for non-prime factors M (i.e., factors that can be decomposed as a tensor product of two II_1 factors) and for factors having property (Γ) of Murray-von Neumann ([MvN2]).

The class of factors covered by Theorems 3.7, 3.8 contains many group factors $L(\Gamma)$, with Γ infinite conjugacy class (ICC) groups. For instance, all wreath product groups $\Gamma = H \wr G$, with H non-trivial, are in this class. Indeed, if H is finite, then $L(\Gamma)$ has $L(H^{(\Gamma)})$ as an amenable diffuse regular von Neumann subalgebra. If $|H| = \infty$, then $L(H)$ contains a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra A, and any such algebra is wq-regular in $L(\Gamma)$. Another class of group factors covered by 3.7 are $L(\Gamma_n)$ for $\Gamma_n = PSL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 3$. This is because any such Γ_n contains a chain of infinite abelian subgroups $H_1, ..., H_m$ that generate Γ_n and so that H_i commutes with H_{i+1} for all i. Thus, $L(\Gamma_n)$ has diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra (e.g., $L(H_1)$) that are wq-regular in $L(\Gamma_n)$. It is interesting to note that in all these cases the L^2-cohomology of the group Γ vanishes as well (cf. [G]).

Note that $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and the free groups $\mathbb{F}_t$, $2 \leq t \leq \infty$, do not have this property. In fact, by results in [Dy], the free group factors $L(\mathbb{F}_t)$ do not have property (C) of [P2]. The same proof shows that they do not satisfy the weaker condition (C') either. But despite the many in-decomposability properties one knows to prove for the free group factors (primeness, solidity, absence of diffuse amenable subalgebras with non-amenable normalizing algebra, etc), the fact that $M = L(\mathbb{F}_t)$ doesn't have any wq-regular diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra is still an open problem. If one could find some smooth $L(\mathbb{F}_t)$-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ for which $H^1(L(\mathbb{F}_t), \mathcal{B}) \neq 0$, then by 3.7, 3.8 it would follow that $L(\mathbb{F}_t)$ doesn't even have a diffuse wq-regular subalgebra with property (C').

4. The case $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

We will prove in this section that if the target M-bimodule is a closed subspace $\mathcal{B}_0$ of the smooth part of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of linear bounded operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ on which the von Neumann algebra M acts, then any derivation with values in $\mathcal{B}_0$ is implemented by an element in $\mathcal{B}_0$. In the particular case $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, this result amounts to (Theorem II in [P3]). However, as we have seen in Section 1, there is a large fauna of non-compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are

smooth over M, even when M is diffuse abelian.

One should mention that, while the proof of this result follows closely the ideas and line of proof in [P3], the arguments in [P3] do not simply extend, as such, to this larger degree of generality, and we will need to resolve additional technical difficulties, notably in the proof of the analogue of (Lemma 1.1 in [P3]). We overcome this through a careful usage of the incremental patching techniques in [P4,6,7].

4.1. Theorem. *Let $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a normal representation of a von Neumann algebra M on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ be a Banach M sub-bimodule and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0$ a derivation. Then there exists $T \in K_\delta \cap \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, we only need to prove 4.1 in the case M is of type II_1 with atomic center and δ vanishing on $\mathcal{Z}(M)$. This means, we are actually reduced to proving the case when M is a II_1 factor. By writing M as an increasing union of a net of separable II_1 factors (see e.g. the proof of A.1.2 in [P8]), and taking into account that if a derivation $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ is implemented by elements $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0$ on subfactors $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset M$, then T_1, T_2 must coincide (by 1.8.2°), it follows that it is sufficient to prove the statement in the case M is a separable II_1 factor.

By ([P1]), M contains a hyperfinite II_1 subfactor $R \subset M$ with trivial relative commutant, $R' \cap M = \mathbb{C}1$. By Theorem 3.7, we may assume δ vanishes on R. We want to prove that δ must then vanish on all M. We will do this by contradiction. If $\delta \neq 0$, then there exists $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\delta(v) \neq 0$. Thus, there exists $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the orthogonal projection $p' \in M' \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\overline{M\xi_0}$ satisfies $p'\delta(v)p' \neq 0$. Since we also have $p's^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))p' \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}(p'(\mathcal{H})))$ (by 1.3.3°), this shows that it is sufficient to derive the contradiction in the case $\mathcal{H} = L^2M$, with $M \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ the standard representation of M. Since $s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a M'-bimodule as well, by replacing if necessary δ by a derivation $x \mapsto x_1'\delta(x)x_2'$ for some appropriate $x_1', x_2' \in M'$, it follows that we may actually assume $\langle \delta(v)(\hat{1}), \hat{v}\rangle = 1$.

At this point, we need some versions of (Lemma 1.1, Lemma 1.2 in [P3]), for $s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ in lieu of $\mathcal{K}(L^2M)$. The proof of the first of these lemmas follows the line of proof of (1.1 in [P3]), using results from ([P4]) and the incremental patching techniques developed in ([P3, P4, P6, P7]).

4.2. Lemma. *Assume $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable Hilbert space and $R \subset M$ is a hyperfinite II_1 factor with $R' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$. For any countable set $\{T_m\}_m$ in the unit ball of $s^*_M(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$, there exist unitary elements $u_n \in R$ such that $\lim_n \|T_m(u_nv)^k(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, for all $k \neq 0, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. Note first that it is sufficient to prove the statement in the case $\mathcal{H} = L^2M$. Indeed, the statement only concerns spaces of the form $M(\xi) \subset \mathcal{H}$, which are cyclic

representations of M, thus included in the left regular representation. Also, if the p_ξ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto the closure $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ of this space, then we clearly have $p_\xi T_m p_\xi \in s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\xi))$.

Moreover, in order to prove the statement in the case $\mathcal{H} = L^2M$, it is clearly sufficient to prove that for any finite sets $F \subset L^2M$, $V \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$, $\mathcal{T} \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $n \geq 1$, there exists a unitary element $u \in R$ such that $\|T((uv)^k\xi)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall \xi \in F$, $v \in V$, $T \in \mathcal{T}$, $1 \leq |k| \leq n$. Indeed, for if we have this, then we take $\{\xi_n\}_n$ dense in the unit ball of L^2M, $\{v_n\}_n$ dense the norm $\|\ \|_2$ in $\mathcal{U}(M)$, and for each $n \geq 1$ we apply it to $F = \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_n\}$, $V = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$, $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, ..., T_n\}$, $\varepsilon = 2^{-n}$, to get a unitary element u_n that satisfies

$$\|T_l((u_n v_i)^k(\xi_j)\|^2 \leq 2^{-n}, \forall i, j, |k|, l \leq n. \tag{1}$$

The sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ will then clearly satisfy the condition in the statement of the lemma, by the density of $\{\xi_n\}_n$ in $(L^2M)_1$ and $\{v_n\}_n$ in $\mathcal{U}(M)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the set of partial isometries $w \in R$ with the properties that $ww^* = w^*w$ and $\|T((wv)^k\xi)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon\tau(ww^*)$, $\forall \xi \in F$, $v \in V$, $T \in \mathcal{T}$, $1 \leq |k| \leq n$ (where $w^{-k} = (w^*)^k$ for $k > 0$). We endow $\mathcal{W}$ with the order given by $w_1 \leq w_2$, $w_2 w_1^* w_1 = w_1$. Then $(\mathcal{W}, \leq)$ is clearly inductively ordered and we let w be a maximal element. All we need is to prove that w is a unitary element. Assume $p = 1 - ww^* \neq 0$. If $w_0 \in pRp$ is a partial isometry with $w_0 w_0^* = w_0^* w_0 = q$ and we denote $u = w + w_0$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^*T((uv)^k(\xi)), (uv)^k(\xi)\rangle &= \langle T^*T(((w+w_0)v)^k(\xi), ((w+w_0)v)^k(\xi)\rangle \\ &= \langle T^*T((wv)^k(\xi)), (wv)^k(\xi)\rangle + \Sigma'_{(i,0)} + \Sigma'_{(0,j)} + \Sigma'_{(i,j)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the last line of (2) represents the sum of $2^k \times 2^k$ elements, coming from developing the binomial powers $((w + w_0)v)^k$ in the scalar product of the first line of (2). The summations $\Sigma'_{(i,0)}$, $\Sigma'_{(0,j)}$, $\Sigma'_{(i,j)}$ are indexed over $i, j \geq 1$ and they have the following significance:

For each $i \geq 1$, $\Sigma'_{(i,0)}$ is the sum of all terms with i appearances of w_0 on the left hand side of the scalar product and no appearance on the right hand side, i.e. each such term is of the form

$$\langle T^*T(wv)^{m_0}(\Pi_{r=1}^i w_0 v (wv)^{m_r})(\xi), (wv)^k(\xi)\rangle, \tag{3}$$

for some $m_0, m_i \geq 0$ and $m_1, ..., m_{i-1} \geq 1$. Similarly, $\Sigma'_{(0,j)}$ is the sum of all terms with j appearances of w_0 on the right hand side of the scalar product and no appearance on the left hand side, i.e. each such term is of the form

$$\langle T^*T(wv)^k(\xi), (wv)^{n_0}(\Pi_{s=1}^j w_0 v(wv)^{n_s})(\xi), \rangle, \tag{4}$$

for some $n_0, n_j \geq 0$, $n_1, ..., n_{j-1} \geq 1$.

For $i, j \geq 1$, $\Sigma'_{(i,j)}$ is the sum of all terms with i appearances of w_0 on the left hand side and j appearances of w_0 on the right hand side of the scalar product, i.e., each such term is of the form

$$\langle T^*T(wv)^{m_0}(\Pi_{r=1}^i w_0 v(wv)^{m_r})(\xi), (wv)^{n_0}(\Pi_{s=1}^j w_0 v(wv)^{n_s})(\xi)\rangle, \tag{5}$$

for some $m_0, m_i, n_0, n_j \geq 0$, $m_1, ..., m_{i-1}$, $n_1, ..., n_{j-1} \geq 1$.

We will show that we can make the choice of the partial isometry $w_0 \neq 0$ so that when estimating all the terms in (3), (4) and (5) they add up to a quantity $\leq \varepsilon\tau(w_0^*w_0)$. We construct w_0 by first choosing its support projection $q \in R$ so that all the terms in (5) are small, then choose a Haar unitary $w_0 \in qRq$ so that the terms in (3), (4) are small. Let $\delta > 0$, which we will take to be sufficiently small, depending on $\varepsilon > 0$ and 2^n.

Estimating $\Sigma'_{(i,j)}$. Each one of the terms in (5) has $i \geq 1$ appearances of w_0 on the left and $j \geq 1$ on the right hand side of the scalar product. If either i or j is at least 2, then the corresponding side of the scalar product will be of the form $x_1w_0x_2...w_0x_p\xi$, for some finite number of possible $x_i \in (M)_1$. By using the fact that $(pRp)' \cap pMp = \mathbb{C}p$ and (see Theorem A.1.2 in [P8], or Theorem 2.1 in [P4]; see also Theorem 0.1 in [P6]), it follows that there exists $q \in pRp$ such that for all the x_i that appear this way and all ξ' in a finite subset of $(pL^2Mp)_1$ we have

$$\|qx_iq - \tau(px_ip)q\|_2 \leq \delta\|q\|_2; \tag{6}$$

$$|\|q\xi'\|_2 - \|q\|_2\|\xi'\|_2| \leq \delta\|q\|_2.$$

By using repeatedly the first inequality in (6) combined with the triangle inequality for $\| \ \|_2$, with the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the second ineqality in (6), it follows that each element in (5) is at distance no more than $n^2\delta\|q\|_2^2 = n^2\delta\tau(q)$ to an element of the form $\langle qKq(w_0^r\xi'), w_0^s\eta')\rangle$ for some $r, s \geq 1$, $K \in s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$, $\xi', \eta' \in (pL^2Mp)_1$, with the number of possibilities for these terms depending on n.

But the operatorial norm $\|qKq\|$ is small for q having sufficiently small trace, by the smoothness of K. Also, by the second inequality in (6), the "size" of the vectors $w_0^r\xi', w_0^s\eta'$ is $\|w_0^r\xi'\| \approx \|q\|_2\|\xi'\|$, $\|w_0^s\eta'\| \approx \|q\|_2\|\eta'\|$, with error controlled by $\delta\|q\|_2$. Altogether, this shows that all terms in (6) have absolute value majorized by $n^2\delta\tau(q)$. Since there are at most $2^n \times 2^n = 2^{2n}$ of them, if we take $\delta = \varepsilon n^{-2}2^{-2n}/4$, then the sum of the terms in $\Sigma'_{(i,j)}$, with $i \geq 1, j \geq 1$ and at least one of them ≥ 2, is majorized by $\varepsilon/4$.

We now estimate the summation $\Sigma'_{(1,1)}$, which consists of terms having exactly one occurrence of w_0 on the left and one on the right of the scalar product. But these are of the form $\langle qKqw_0q\xi', w_0q\eta'\rangle$, for which we have by the Cauchy-Scwartz inequality and the second part of (6):

$$|\langle qKqw_0q\xi', w_0q\eta'\rangle| \leq \|qKq\|\|q\xi'\|_2\|q\eta'\|_2 \leq 3\|qKq\|\|q\|_2^2 = 3\|qKq\|\tau(q)$$

Since $\|qKq\|$ can be made arbitrarily small for $\tau(q)$ small (by the smoothness of K), it follows that the $\Sigma_{(1,1)}$ can be majorized by $\varepsilon\tau(q)/4$ as well.

Estimating $\Sigma'_{(i,0)}, \Sigma'_{(0,j)}$. Note that in the above estimates we only used the properties of the support projection q of w_0. We will choose now $w_0 \in \mathcal{U}(qRq)$ to be a Haar unitary so that the sum over i of all the summations $\Sigma'_{(i,0)}$ is majorized by $\varepsilon\tau(q)/4$ (similarly for $\Sigma'_{(0,j)}$). Indeed, each one of the terms in these sums is either of the form $\langle(\Pi_{r=1}^i w_0x_r)\xi', q(K^*\eta')\rangle$ or $\langle q(K\xi'), (\Pi_{s=1}^j w_0x_s)\eta'\rangle$, for some finite number of operators K in the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ and vectors $\xi', \eta' \in (L^2M)_1$.

By the second inequality in (6), if $i, j \geq 2$ then, combining with the first inequality in (6) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get that each one of these terms can be perturbed by $2\delta\|q\|_2^2 = 2\delta\tau(q)$ to an element of the form $\langle w_0^i\xi', \eta'\rangle$ or $\langle\xi', w_0^j\eta'\rangle$. Moreover, each one of the terms in $\Sigma'_{(1,0)}, \Sigma'_{(0,1)}$ are also of this form, but with $i, j = 1$. We can now take any Haar unitary $u_0 \in qRq$ and use the fact that $\lim_n\langle u^n\xi', \eta'\rangle = 0$, for any vectors ξ', η', to obtain that for any finite set $F' \subset (L^2M)_1$ and any $\delta' > 0$ there exists n_0 such that $|\langle u^n\xi', \eta'\rangle| \leq \delta'\tau(q)$, $\forall\xi', \eta' \in F'$, $|n| \geq n_0$. Thus, if we define $w_0 = u_0^{n_0}$ then for sufficiently small δ' we get that $\Sigma'_{(i,0)} \leq \varepsilon\tau(q)/4$ and $\Sigma'_{(0,j)} \leq \varepsilon\tau(q)/4$.

Putting now together the two sets of estimates, one gets that $\Sigma'_{(i,j)} + \Sigma'_{(i,0)} + \Sigma'_{(0,j)} \leq \varepsilon\tau(q) = \varepsilon\tau(w_0^*w_0)$, which combined with $\langle T^*T((wv)^k(\xi)), (wv)^k(\xi)\rangle \leq \varepsilon\tau(w^*w)$ (due to w being in $\mathcal{W}$), shows that the last line in (2) is further majorized by $\varepsilon\tau(u^*u)$. Thus, $u = w + w_0 \in \mathcal{W}$, with $w \leq u$, and since $w_0 \neq 0$, we have $u \neq w$, contradicting the maximality of w in $\mathcal{W}$. Thus, w is actually a unitary and the Lemma is proved. $\square$

The second technical lemma corresponds to (Lemma 1.2 in [P3]), but for $s^*(\mathcal{B})$ instead of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. It shows that once 4.2 holds true for a sequence of unitaries $u_n \in M_\delta$ then, as $n \to \infty$, the restriction of δ to the abelian von Neumann algebra generated by the unitary $u_n v$ tends to behave "virtually" like the derivation of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ into $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ implemented by $\mathrm{ad}P$, where P is the projection of $L^2(T)$ onto $H^2(\mathbb{T})$.

4.3. Lemma. *Let $\delta : M \to s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ be a derivation. Let $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ and assume $\{u_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{U}(M)$ is a sequence of unitary elements such that $\delta(u_n) = 0$, $\forall n$ and $\lim_n \|T((u_n v)^k \xi)\| = 0$, $\forall \xi \in L^2M$, $k \neq 0$ and $T \in \{\delta(v), \delta(v)^*, \delta(v^{-1}), \delta(v^{-1})^*\}$. Then the sequence $\{\langle \delta((u_n v)^r)\hat{1}, (u_n v)^s \hat{1}\rangle\}_n$ tends to $\langle \delta(v)\hat{1}, \hat{v}\rangle$ if $r = s > 0$ and to 0 in all other cases.*

Proof. Let $t > 0$ be a positive integer. Since $\delta(u_n) = 0$, we have

$$\delta((u_n v)^t) = \Sigma_{i=0}^{t-1} (u_n v)^i u_n \delta(v)(u_n v)^{t-i-1}; \tag{1}$$

$$\delta((u_n v)^{-t}) = \Sigma_{i=0}^{t-1} (u_n v)^{-i} \delta(v^{-1}) u_n^{-1} (u_n v)^{-t+i+1}. \tag{2}$$

But by the assumptions in the hypothesis, it follows that whenever $t - i - 1 \neq 0$, we have:

$$\|(u_n v)^i u_n \delta(v)(u_n v)^{t-i-1} \hat{1}\| = \|\delta(v)(u_n v)^{t-i-1} \hat{1}\| \to 0, \tag{3}$$

and for any $t - i - 1 \geq 0$ we have

$$\|(u_n v)^{-i} \delta(v^{-1}) u_n^{-1} (u_n v)^{-t+i+1} \hat{1}\| = \|\delta(v^{-1}) u_n^{-1} (u_n v)^{-t+i+1} \hat{1}\| \to 0. \tag{4}$$

Thus, from (1) and (3), for any integer $t \geq 1$ we get:

$$\lim_n \|\delta((u_n v)^t)\hat{1} - (u_n v)^{t-1} u_n \delta(v)\hat{1}\| = 0, \tag{5}$$

while from (2) and (4) we get:

$$\lim_n \|\delta((u_n v)^{-t})\hat{1}\| = 0. \tag{6}$$

Now, if $r < 0$ and s is arbitrary, then the statement follows immediately from (6). If in turn $r > 0$, then by (5) we have for any integer s:

$$\lim_n(\langle\delta((u_nv)^r)\hat{1},(u_nv)^s\hat{1}\rangle-\langle\delta(v)\hat{1},u_n^{-1}(u_nv)^{s-r+1}\hat{1}\rangle)=0. \tag{7}$$

But if $s-r+1\neq 1$, then by hypothesis $\lim_n\|\delta(v)^*u_n^{-1}(u_nv)^{s-r+1}\hat{1}\|=0$, so the second term in (7) tends to 0. Thus, if $s\neq r$, then $\lim_n\langle\delta((u_nv)^r)\hat{1},(u_nv)^s\hat{1}\rangle=0$. While if $s=r>0$, then by (7) again, we get $\lim_n\langle\delta((u_nv)^r)\hat{1},(u_nv)^s\hat{1}\rangle=\langle\delta(v)\hat{1},v\hat{1}\rangle)$.

The case $r=0$ is trivial, because then we have $(u_nv)^r=1$ and $\delta(1)=0$. □

End of the proof of 4.1. From this point on, the rest of the proof of the theorem goes exactly the same way as the proof of (Theorem II in [P3]), by using Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, in lieu of (Lemmas 1.1, 1.2 in [P3]), as well as (Lemma 1.3 in [P3]), which can be used unchanged. We include this last part of the argument, for completeness.

Recall that we have reduce the proof to the case M is a separable II_1 factor acting on $\mathcal{H}=L^2M$ and $\delta:M\to\mathcal{B}_0\subset s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ a derivation vanishing on a hyperfinite subfactor $R\subset M$ with $R'\cap M=\mathbb{C}1$. We want to prove that $\delta=0$ on all M. We assumed by contradiction that there exists $v\in\mathcal{U}(M)$ with $\delta(v)\neq 0$ and we saw that we may assume $\langle\delta(v)\hat{1},\hat{v}\rangle=1$.

By 4.2, there exists a sequence of unitaries $u_n\in\mathcal{U}(R)$ such that $\lim_n\|T(u_nv)^k\xi\|=0$, for all $k\neq 0$, all $\xi\in L^2M$ and $T\in\{\delta(v),\delta(v)^*,\delta(v^{-1}),\delta(v^{-1})^*\}$, or $T\in\{p_m\}_m$, for some sequence of finite rank projections $p_m\to 1$. Note that

$$\lim_n\|p_m(u_nv)^k\xi\|=0,\forall m,\xi,$$

implies that $\{(u_nv)^k\}_n$ tends weakly to 0, for all $k\neq 0$. By (Lemma 1.3 in [P3]), this implies there exist Haar unitaries $v_n\in M$ such that $\lim_n\|v_n-u_nv\|=0$. Since $\langle\delta(v)\hat{1},v\hat{1}\rangle=1$ and δ is norm continuous, by Lemma 4.3 this implies $\lim_n\langle\delta(v_n^r)\hat{1},v_n^s\hat{1}\rangle$ is equal to 1 if $r=s>0$ and to 0 in all other cases.

Take A_n to be the von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by the Haar unitary v_n and denote by e_n the orthogonal projection onto L^2A_n. Since $s^*_M(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))\subset s^*_{A_n}(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ and $s^*_{A_n}(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ is an A_n'-bimodule with $e_n\in A_n'$, it follows that $e_n\delta(A_n)e_n\subset e_ns^*_{A_n}(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))e_n=s^*_{A_n}(L^2A_n)$. Thus,

$$A_n\ni a\mapsto\delta_n(a)=e_n\delta(a)e_n\in s^*_{A_n}(L^2A_n),$$

defines derivations with the property that $\|\delta_n\|\leq\|\delta\|$, $\forall n$. Moreover, since all δ_n are restrictions of δ, which is smooth, the derivations $\{\delta_n\}_n$ are uniformly smooth,

i.e., $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \alpha > 0$ such that for any given n, if $a \in (A_n)_1$ is so that $\|a\| \leq \alpha$, then $\|\delta_n(a)\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Since $A_n \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2 A_n)$ are all spatially isomorphic to $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$, with the identification sending the Haar unitary generating A_n to the operator M_z, acting on $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by multiplication with the function $g(z) = z$, we may view all δ_n as derivations from $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ into $s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$, which are uniformly bounded in norm by $\|\delta\|$ and uniformly smooth. Moreover, by spatiality, $\lim_n \langle \delta_n(M_{z^r})\hat{1}, \hat{z^s}\rangle$ is equal to 1 if $r = s > 0$ and to 0 in all other cases.

We now fix a free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$ and define $\Delta : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ to be the weak limit over ω of δ_n, then it is easy to see that Δ is still a derivation, which satisfies $\|\Delta\| \leq \|\delta\|$ and is smooth (because δ_n are uniformly smooth). Moreover, we have

$$\langle \Delta(M_{z^r})\hat{1}, z^s\hat{1}\rangle = \lim_n \langle \delta(v_n^r)\hat{1}, v_n^s\hat{1}\rangle$$

is equal to 1 if $r = s > 0$ and to 0 in all other cases. By using the derivation properties, this implies that $\langle \Delta(M_z)z^r, z^s\rangle$ is equal to 1 if $r = 0$, $s = 1$ and to 0 otherwise. But this means $\Delta(M_z)$ coincides with the the commutator $[P, M_z]$, where P is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto the subspace $H^2(\mathbb{T}) = \overline{\text{sp}}\{z^k \mid k > 0\}$. Since both Δ and $\text{ad}P$ are derivations and are weakly continuous (Δ is even smooth), and they coincide on the generator M_z of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, it follows that $\Delta = \text{ad}P$ on all $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

Since Δ is smooth, this implies $\text{ad}P$ is smooth. Moreover, since $\text{ad}P$ takes compact values on the dense *-subalgebra $\text{sp}\{M_{z^r} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and is smooth, it takes compact values on all $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. By 2.5 or 3.7, $\text{ad}P = \text{ad}K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$. Thus $P - K$ commutes with $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, which is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$. It follows that $P - K = M_f$ for some $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. But then

$$\langle (P-K)z^k, z^k\rangle = \langle M_f z^k, z^k\rangle = \int f(z)\text{d}\nu(z)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with the left hand side tending to 1 as $k \to \infty$ ant to 0 as $k \to -\infty$, while the right hand side is constant. This final contradiction finishes the proof. □

4.4. Corollary. *Let $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a normal representation of a von Neumann algebra M on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. If $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a smooth derivation, then there exists $T \in s^*_M(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$, with $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$, such that $\delta = \text{ad}T$.*

4.5. Corollary. *Let M_0 be a C^*-algebra with a faithful trace τ and $M_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a faithful representation of M_0. Let $\delta : M_0 \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a derivation. Assume δ is continuous from the unit ball of M_0 with the topology given by the Hilbert norm*

$\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$, $x \in M_0$, to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the operator norm topology. Then there exists $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\delta = \mathrm{ad}T$ and $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$. More precisely, if p_0 denotes the projection onto the closure $\mathcal{H}_0$ of $\mathrm{sp}\{\delta(x)\xi \mid \xi \in \mathcal{H}, x \in M_0\}$, then $[p_0, M_0] = 0$, $[p_0, \delta(M_0)] = 0$, the weak closure M of $M_0p_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, δ extends to a smooth derivation of M into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and is implemented by an element $T \in s^*_M(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0))$, with $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$.

Proof. Since $y\delta(x)\xi = (\delta(yx) - \delta(y)x)\xi$, we have $M_0\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_0$. The projection p_0 onto $\mathcal{H}_0$ satisfies $(1 - p_0)\delta(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in M_0$, and it is the smallest projection with this property. For each $T = \delta(y)p_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ we have $\|xT(\xi)\|$ small if $x \in (M_0)_1$, $\|x\|_2$ small.

Thus, if we denote by $(\pi_\tau, \mathcal{H}_\tau)$ the GNS representation of M_0 corresponding to the trace τ, then the map $\theta : \pi(M_0) \to M_0p_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ defined by $\theta(\pi(x)) = xp_0$ is a *-algebra morphism which is continuous from the unit ball of $\pi(M_0)$ with the $\| \ \|_\tau$-topology to $(M_0p_0)_1$ with the *so*-topology. This implies that θ extends to a *-morphism, still denoted θ, from $\pi(M_0)''$ to $M = (M_0p_0)'' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$. This means that $\ker\theta$ is given by a central projection z_0 in $\pi(M_0)''$, i.e. $M \simeq \pi_\tau(M_0)''z_0$ and the rest of the statement follows from 4.1, once we notice that the extension by continuity of δ to all $M = Mp_0$ is smooth (and is thus smooth valued). □

5. Further comments

5.1. Generalized smooth cohomology. Note that the proof of 2.5 still works (and thus the conclusion in 2.5 still holds true) if we merely assume that $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0$ is operatorial, instead of the (stronger) condition $\mathcal{B}$ operatorial.

But an even greater degree of generality for which the arguments in the proof of 2.5 work exactly the same way, is the following framework, inspired by the work in [PR]. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ be a dual normal M-bimodule. Assume $L \subset \mathcal{B}_*$ is a subset of the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}_*$ with the property that if $\varphi \in L$ then $\varphi(x \cdot y) \in L$ for any $x, y \in (M)_1$ (so in particular $\lambda L \subset L$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$). Moreover, we assume the set L is "separating" for $\mathcal{B}$, i.e., $\forall T \in \mathcal{B}$, $\exists \varphi \in L$ such that $\varphi(T) \neq 0$.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}$, we denote $\|T\|_L = \sup\{|\varphi(T)| \mid \varphi \in L\}$. Note that $\| \ \|_L$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}$ which is majorized by $\| \ \|$ and is complete on the unit ball of $(\mathcal{B}, \| \ \|)$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{B}, \| \ \|_L)$ is a normed M-bimodule and we denote by $s^*(\mathcal{B}, \| \ \|_L)$ its smooth part. As in 1.2.1°, this space is easily seen to be a Banach M-bimodule with respect to the usual norm $\| \ \|$, and its unit ball is complete in the norm $\| \ \|_L$.

Let now $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B}, \| \ \|_L)$ be a sub-bimodule with the property that the unit ball of $(\mathcal{B}_0, \| \ \|)$ is complete in the norm $\| \ \|_L$. In addition, we will require the norm implemented by $\| \ \|_L$ on the quotient space $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0$ by $\|T/\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L,ess} = \sup\{\|T - T_0\|_L \mid$

$T_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0\}, T \in \mathcal{B}$, to be *operatorial*, in the sense that for any $T \in \mathcal{B}$ and any $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we have:

$$\|pTp + (1-p)T(1-p)\|_{L,ess} = \max\{\|pTp\|_{L,ess}, \|(1-p)T(1-p)\|_{L,ess}\}.$$

5.1.1. Theorem. *With the above assumptions and notations, let $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0$ be a derivation. Given any amenable von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset M$, δ is implemented on B by some $T \in K_{\delta,B} \cap \mathcal{B}_0$. Moreover, if B is diffuse and wq-regular in M, then T implements δ on all M. And if $\mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra that contains M as a von Neumann subalgebra, then T implements δ on all M even if A is not wq-regular in M.*

5.1.2. Exemples. 1° If in the above we take L to be the whole unit ball of $\mathcal{B}_*$, then $\| \|_L$ coincides with the norm $\| \|$.

2° Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann factor and denote by $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{M}$ the *compact ideal space* of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e., the set of all elements $T \in \mathcal{M}$ with the property that all spectral projections of $|T|$ corresponding to intervals $[c, \infty)$ for $c > 0$ are finite projections in $\mathcal{M}$. Let Tr be a normal semifinite trace on $\mathcal{M}$ and take $L \subset \mathcal{M}_*$ to be the set of all normal functionals on $\mathcal{M}$ of the form $\varphi_{x,y}(T) = Tr(yTx)$, with $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, $Tr(x^*x) \leq 1$, $Tr(y^*y) \leq 1$. Then the corresponding norm $\| \|_L$ satisfies all the above conditions. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}$ is contained in $s^*(\mathcal{M}, \| \|_L)$ and the quotient norm $\| \|_{L,ess}$ on $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$ is operatorial. Thus, we recover in this case the framework and results obtained in [PR], for which Theorem 5.1.1 provides a more abstract setting, with a higher degree of generalization.

Note that if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\| \|_L$ coincides with the operatorial norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. But for type II_∞ factors $\mathcal{M}$, there are elements T in $\mathcal{M}$ for which $\|T\|_L < \|T\|$. Moreover, if $M \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra and we view $\mathcal{M}$ as a dual M-bimodule by left/right multiplication of the elements in $\mathcal{M}$ by elements in M, then the norm $\| \|$ is not smooth on $\mathcal{J}$, and is not operatorial on $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$. While from the above we see that $\| \|_L$ is both smooth on $\mathcal{J}$ and operatorial on the quotient $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$. Thus, Theorem 5.1.1 is more general than Theorem 2.5.

5.2. Smooth n-cohomology. We propose here a definition of higher Hochschild cohomology groups with smooth coefficients, $H^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0)$, $n \geq 2$, which extends the 1-cohomology in Section 3 to all n. This is done in the spirit of the classical operatorial approach to Hochschild cohomology in [KR], [JKR] (see also [SS]), but with additional continuity requirements.

Thus, let $\mathcal{B}$ be a dual operatorial M-bimodule and $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ a Banach M sub-bimodule. Denote by $\mathcal{L}^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0)$ the space of n-linear maps $\Phi : M^n =$

$M \times M \times ... \times M \to \mathcal{B}_0$, which are separately s^*-norm continuous in each variable, i.e. for each i and each fixed $x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n$, the map $M \ni x \mapsto \Phi(x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, x, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is continuous from the unit ball of M with the s^*-topology to $\mathcal{B}_0$ with its norm topology. We view $\{\mathcal{L}^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0)\}_n$ as a *chain complex* with *boundary operators* $\partial_n : \mathcal{L}^n_s \to \mathcal{L}^{n+1}_s$ defined by

$$\partial_n(\Phi)(x_1, ..., x_{n+1}) = x_1\Phi(x_2, x_3, ..., x_{n+1}) - \Phi(x_1x_2, x_3, ..., x_{n+1})$$
$$+.... + (-1)^n\Phi(x_1, x_2, ..., x_nx_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1}\Phi(x_1, ..., x_n)x_{n+1}.$$

Denote by $Z^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0)$ the kernel of ∂_{n+1}, by $B^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0)$ the image of $\mathcal{L}^n_s$ under ∂_n and by $H^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0) = Z^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0)/B^n_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0)$ the corresponding (vector) quotient space.

As we mentioned in Section 3, Theorems 3.7, 3.8, 4.1, can be formulated as vanishing 1-cohomology results, in the form $H^1(M, \mathcal{B}_0) = H^1_s(M, \mathcal{B}_0) = 0$. Note that smoothness is automatic for 1-cocycles (=derivations). Such "automatic continuity" phenomena for 1-cocycles are often present in cohomology theories involving operator algebras. But that's no longer the case for the higher cohomology. We have thus required smoothness in each variable, as part of the definition of n-cocycles.

Note that in the case the target smooth bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0$ is the ideal of compact operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ on which M acts, $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, smoothness in each variable is in fact automatic, once separate weak-continuity is assumed, a fact that was pointed out in (Proposition 3 of [R]). We recall here that statement and include a proof, for the reader's convenience, but also in order to emphasize the crucial way in which the assumption that the target bimodule is the space of compact operators is being used. This shows the difficulty of dealing with higher cohomology with coefficients in arbitrary smooth bimodules (even when restricting to cocycles that are smooth in each variable).

5.2.1. Lemma [Ra]. *Let (M, τ) be a finite von Neumann algebra, acting normally on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. If $\Phi : M^n \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is n-linear, separately norm continuous and weakly continuous in each variable, then Φ is separately s^*-norm continuous on the unit ball of M, in each variable. In particular, $Z^n_s(M, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})) = Z^n_w(M, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$, $\forall n \geq 1$.*

Proof. By A.1, it is sufficient to prove that if $F : M \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is a bounded linear map which is weakly continuous (i.e. continuous from $(M)_1$ with the $\sigma(M, M_*)$-topology to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ with the $\sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_*)$-toplogy), then for any mutually orthogonal projections $e_n \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ we have $\lim_n \|F(e_n)\| = 0$.

Assume by contradiction that there exist mutually orthogonal projections e_n's with $\|F(e_k)\| \geq c > 0$, $\forall n$. Since $F(e_k)$ are compact operators and they tend

weakly to 0, it follows that we can choose recursively a fast growing sequence of integers $k_1 << k_2 << ...$ such that $F(e_{k_{n+1}})$ is ε_{n+1}-supported by a finite rank projection p_{n+1} with $p_k p_{n+1} = 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. If ε_n are taken sufficiently small, then by weak continuity of F we have that $F(e) = F(\Sigma_n e_{k_n}) = \Sigma_n F(e_{k_n})$ must be compact, while being norm close to $\Sigma_n p_n F(e_{k_n}) p_n$, which is a non-compact operator (because under each p_n there exist unit vectors on which $p_n F(e_{k_n}) p_n$ has nom $\geq c/2$). This contradiction completes the proof. □

5.3. Towards a "good" cohomology theory for II_1 *factors.* The work in this paper can be viewed as a revisitation of techniques and results in [JP] and [P2,3] in light of the recent efforts ([CS], [Th], [Pe], etc) to find a "good" 1-cohomology theory for II_1 factors M. By a "good cohomology" we mean one that does not always vanish and can therefore detect properties of II_1 factors such as primeness, absence of Cartan subalgebras, infinite generation, etc. An ideal such theory should also be calculable and in the case of group II_1 factors $M = L(\Gamma)$ should reflect the cohomology theory of the group Γ.

One can try to define such a cohomology theory on weakly dense *-subalgebras of M, as in one of the venues proposed in [CS], or as in [Pe]. But this would require showing that the definition of the resulting invariant (the cohomology group, or at least its "dimension") does not depend on the choice of the dense subalgebra of M. Solving this type of problem has run into difficulties that seem insurmountable at the moment, similar to the problem of showing that Voiculescu's free entropy dimension of a set of generators of M is independent on the generators.

We have thus taken the point of view that a "good cohomology" for M should be everywhere defined. The key for a successful such cohomology is the choice of the target M-bimodules $\mathcal{B}$. The M-bimodules may be purely algebraic, with no topology on them (as in [CS]). But the danger in this case is that the resulting 1-cohomology may be non-zero for any II_1 factor. Also, in order for a cohomology to detect finite/infinite generation of M, a derivation $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ should be uniquely determined by its values on a set of generators of M, imposing that δ satisfies some continuity properties. A topological version of the Connes-Shlyakhtenko's cohomology ([CS]) has been proposed by A. Thom in [Th], where the target M-bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ is the space $\mathrm{Aff}(M\overline{\otimes}M)$, of all densely defined closable operators affiliated with $M\overline{\otimes}M$, and $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ are taken to be continuous from M with the norm topology to $\mathcal{B}$ with the topology of convergence in measure. But this 1-cohomology was shown to always vanish in [PV] (after prior work in this direction in [A] and [AKy]). Moreover, it was proved in [PV] that any derivation $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ that's continuous from M with the norm topology to $\mathcal{B}$ with any "reasonable" weak topology, is inner. So the approach in [CS], [Th], etc, is at a stalemate at the moment.

But we retain from the above that the class of M-bimodules $\mathcal{B}$ and derivations $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}$ considered should satisfy some topological/continuity conditions. Another important "wishful" feature (which in fact the cohomologies in [CS], [Th] do satisfy) is that derivations should be uniquely determined by their values on wq-regular diffuse von Neumann subalgebras of M and, if possible, to be "integrable" on abelian subalgebras. The first of this requirements is by analogy to properties in L^2-cohomology of groups (see e.g. [PeT]) and countable equivalence relations ([G]). It is a property that insures the 1-cohomology is "small" and calculable, and that it is vanishing once M contains wq-regular abelian diffuse subalgebras. This last property is best insured when any δ considered is inner (i.e. implemented by an element in $\mathcal{B}$) on any abelian subalgebra $A \subset M$ (δ is "integrable" on A). This is not the case in the initial Cheeger-Gromov approach to L^2-cohomology, where the Γ-module is $\mathcal{B} = \ell^2\Gamma$, but it is the case in the Peterson-Thom recent approach in [PeT], where $\mathcal{B}$ if taken to be the bigger module $\mathrm{Aff}(L(\Gamma))$.

The smooth operatorial bimodules and cohomology that we propose in this paper do satisfy all these requirements: (a) smooth derivations are uniquely determined by their values on sets of generators of the von Neumann algebra; (b) they are integrable on abelian subalgebras; (c) they are uniquely determined by their values on wq-regular diffuse subalgebras. The problem is, of course, that any such cohomology may be vanishing. In the (optimistic!) contrary case, one needs to find a class of smooth bimodules for which the general results in Theorems 2.5, 3.7, 3.8 (or 0.1 in the introduction) hold true, but for which there do exist II_1 factors M (e.g., $M = L(\mathbb{F}_n)$) that have non-inner derivations. The proof of Theorem 4.1 gives ideas and techniques that could be used to show that all smooth cohomologies vanish. Alternatively, 4.1 provides "boundary conditions" towards the search for a "good" class of bimodules $\mathcal{B}$, by indicating the type of conditions they should NOT satisfy: if $\mathcal{B}$ is "too operatorial" (e.g. $\mathcal{B}$ a sub-bimodule in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ like in 4.1), then all derivations into the smooth part of $\mathcal{B}$ will be inner. The room to maneuver is slim, but there are ways to generalize even more the class of bimodules considered, while still having vanishing cohomology as in 2.5, 3.7, 3.8 (e.g., in the spirit of 5.1).

Appendix

We recall here, for the reader's convenience, a result from ([P2]; see also A.1 in the Appendix of [P3]), showing that if a weakly continuous linear map from a finite von Neumann algebra into a dual Banach space has the property that it is s^*-norm continuous on the the unit ball of any copy of $\ell^\infty\mathbb{N}$ in M, then it follows s^*-norm continuous on the unit ball of M. We include the proof from ([P2], [P3]), for the sake of completeness.

A.1. Lemma. *Let (M,τ) be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal trace and $\mathcal{B}$ a dual Banach space. Let $F : M \to \mathcal{B}$ be a weakly continous linear map. Assume $\lim_n \|F(e_n)\| = 0$ for any sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in M. Then $\lim_n \|F(x_n)\| = 0$ for any sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in the unit ball of M that tends to 0 in the strong operator topology.*

Proof. Let us prove first that $\lim_n \|F(f_n)\| = 0$ for any sequence $f_n \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ with $\tau(f_n) \to 0$. Suppose by contradiction that there exists such a sequence with $\|F(f_n)\| \geq c > 0$, $\forall n$. By taking a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume $\Sigma_n \tau(f_n) < \infty$. Let $g_n = \vee_{k \geq n} f_k$ and note that g_n is a decreasing sequence of projections with $\tau(g_n) \leq \Sigma_{k \geq n} \tau(f_k) \to_n 0$.

Denote by s_{nm} the support projection of $f_m g_n f_m$. Then $s_{nm} \leq f_m$ and s_{nm} is majorized (in the sense of comparison of projections) by g_n. Thus, since τ is a trace, we have $\tau(s_{nm}) \leq \tau(g_n) \to_n 0$ for each m. Since $\{g_n\}_n$ is decreasing, $\{f_m g_n f_m\}_n$ is decreasing, and thus $\{s_{nm}\}_n$ is decreasing in n, for each m. It follows that $\{f_m - s_{nm}\}_n$ increases to f_m, implying that $\{F(f_m - s_{nm})\}_n$ is weakly convergent to $L(f_m)$. By the inferior semicontinuity of the norm on $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the w*-topology, it follows that for each fixed m and large enough n_m, we have $\|F(f_m - s_{n_m,m})\| \geq c/2$.

This shows that we can construct recursively an increasing sequence of integers $n_1 < n_2 < ...$ such that the projections $h_k = f_{n_k} - s_{n_{k+1},n_k}$ satisfy $\|F(h_k)\| \geq c/2$, $\forall k$. These projections also satisfy $\tau(h_k) \leq \tau(f_{n_k}) \to_k 0$. Moreover, by the definitions of h_k and of s_{n_{k+1},n_k}, it follows that $h_k g_{n_{k+1}} h_k = 0$, so in particular $h_k f_{n_l} = 0$ for $l \geq k+1$. Thus, $h_k h_l = 0$, $\forall l \geq k+1$, i.e, $\{h_k\}_k$ are mutually orthogonal projections. Since $\|F(h_k)\| \geq c/2$, $\forall k$, this contradicts the fact that F is s^*-norm continuous on atomic abelian von Neumann subalgebras.

To prove that if $\{x_n\}_n$ is an arbitrary sequence in $(M)_1$ with $\|x_n\|_2 \to 0$, then $\|F(x_n)\| \to 0$, it is clearly sufficient to show this for $x_n = x_n^*$. Moreover, since $\||x_n|\|_2 = \|x_n\|_2$, it follows that if $\|x_n\|_2 \to 0$, then $\|(x_n)_+\|_2 \to 0$, $\|(x_n)_-\|_2 \to 0$, showing that it is sufficient to prove the implication for sequences $x_n \in (M_+)_1$, with $\tau(x_n) \to 0$. Let $x_n = \Sigma_{m \geq 1} 2^{-n} e_{nm}$, $e_{nm} \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, be the dyadic decomposition of x_n. It follows that $\tau(e_{nm}) \to 0$, $\forall m$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let m_0 be so that $2^{-m_0}(\|F\| + 1) \leq \varepsilon/2$. By the first part of the proof, it follows that there exists n_0 such that $\|F(e_{nk})\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, $\forall n \geq n_0$ and $k \leq m_0$. Thus, if $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$\|F(x_n)\| \leq (\Sigma_{k=1}^{m_0} 2^{-k} \|F(e_{nm})\|) + (\Sigma_{k > m_0} 2^{-k}) \|F(e_{nk})\|$$

$$\leq (\Sigma_{k=1}^{m_0} 2^{-k}) \varepsilon/2 + (\Sigma_{k > m_0} 2^{-k}) \|F\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

□

A.2. Remark. If a von Neumann algebra M is normally represented on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and we endow $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the M-bimodule structure given by left - right multiplication by elements in M, and we let $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset s^*(\mathcal{B})$ be the ideal of compact operators, $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, then the automatic smoothness of any derivation $\delta : M \to \mathcal{B}_0$ was proved in [P2]. The argument consists in reducing the problem to the case $M \simeq \ell^\infty\mathbb{N}$ (via Lemma A.1), which in turn follows from the innerness of compact valued derivations on atomic abelian von Neumann algebras, established in [JP].

It was then noticed in [Ra] that in fact ANY weakly continuous linear map $F : M \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is s^*-norm continuous on atomic abelian von Neumann subalgebras of M, and thus all such weakly continuous linear compact valued maps are s^*-norm continuous on countable decomposable finite von Neumann algebras (cf. [P2], i.e. A.1 above).

This general "principle" is of course no longer true if we replace $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ by the space of all smooth elements $s^*(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. Indeed, we have seen in 1.8.2° that if M is a finite diffuse von Neumann algebra than the smooth part of $\mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ contains infinite dimensional projections, and since $\mathcal{B}_0 = s^*(\mathcal{B}(L^2M))$ is a hereditary C*-algebra, any such projection p satisfies $p\mathcal{B}_0p \simeq \mathcal{B}(L^2M)$. But there are of course plenty of weakly continuous linear maps from M into $\mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ which are not s^*-norm continuous on all their atomic abelian subalgebras, for instance the inclusion map $M \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2M)$ does not satisfy this continuity property on any $\ell^\infty\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow M$.

References

[AkO] C. Akemann, Ostrand: *Computing norms in group C^*-algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 1015-1047.

[A] V. Alekseev: *On the first continuous L^2-cohomology of free group factors*, arXiv:1312.5647.

[AKy] V. Alekseev, D. Kyed: *Measure continuous derivations on von Neumann algebras and applications to L^2-cohomology*, arXiv:1110.6155

[BGM] U. Bader, T. Gelander, N. Monod: *A fixed point theorem for L^1 spaces*, Inventiones Math. **189** (2012), 143-148.

[Bo] M. Bozejko: *On $\Lambda(p)$ sets with minimal constant in discrete noncommutative groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 407-412.

[Ch] E. Christensen: *Derivations and their extensions to perturbations of operator algebras*, in "Proc. Sympos. Pure Math" Vol **38**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1982, pp 261-273.

[CSh] A. Connes, D. Shlyakhtenko: *L^2-homology for von Neumann algebras*: Reine Angew. Math. **586** (2005), 125-168.

[D] J. Dixmier: "Les algebres d'operateurs dans l'espace hilbertien", Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1957, 1969.

[Dy] K. Dykema: *Two applications of free entropy*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), 545-558.

[G] D. Gaboriau: *Invariants ℓ^2 de rélations d'équivalence et de groupes*, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. **95** (2002), 93-150.

[IPP] A. Ioana, J. Peterson, S. Popa: *Amalgamated Free Products of w-Rigid Factors and Calculation of their Symmetry Groups*, Acta Math. **200** (2008), 85-153 (math.OA/0505589)

[JKR] B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose: *Cohomology of operator algebras III: Reduction to normal cohomology*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **100** (1972), 73-96.

[JP] B. Johnson, S. Parrott: *Operators commuting with a von Neumann algebra modulo the set of compact operators*, J. Funct. Analysis **11** (1972), 39-61.

[KR1] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose: *Cohomology of operator algebras I: Type I von Neumann algebras*, Acta Math., **126** (1971), 227-243.

[KR2] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose: *Cohomology of operator algebras II: Extended cobounding and the hyperfinite case*, Ark. Mat., **9** (1971), 55-63.

[Ke] H. Kesten: *Symmetric random walks on groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1959), 336-354.

[Ki] E. Kirchberg: *The derivation problem and the similarity problem are equivalent*, J. Operator Theory **36** (1996), 59-62.

[MvN1] F. Murray, J. von Neumann: *On rings of operators*, Ann. Math. **37** (1936), 116-229.

[MvN2] F. Murray, J. von Neumann: *Rings of operators IV*, Ann. Math. **44** (1943), 716-808.

[Pe] J. Peterson: *L^2-rigidity in von Neumann algebras*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), 417-433.

[PeT] J. Peterson, A. Thom: *Group cocycles and the ring of affiliated operators*, Invent. Math.

[Pi1] G. Pisier: "Factorizations of linear operators and the geometry of Banach spaces" CBMS Lecture Notes Vol. **60**, 1986.

[Pi2] G. Pisier: "Introduction to Operator Space Theory", London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes **294**, 20013.

[Pi3] G. Pisier: "Similarity problems and completely bounded maps", Springer Lecture Notes **1618**, 1995.

[P1] S. Popa: *On a problem of R.V. Kadison on maximal abelian *-subalgebras in factors*, Invent. Math., **65** (1981), 269-281.

[P2] S. Popa: *On derivations into the compacts and some properties of type* II *factors*, in the "Proceedings of XIV-th Conference in Operator Theory", Herculane-

Timisoara 1980, I. Gohberg (ed.), Birkhauser Verlag, 1984, pp 221-227.

[P3] S. Popa: *The commutant modulo the set of compact operators of a von Neumann algebra*, J. Funct. Analysis, **71** (1987), 393-408.

[P4] S. Popa: *Free independent sequences in type* II_1 *factors and related problems*, Asterisque, **232** (1995), 187-202.

[P5] S. Popa: *Some computations of* 1*-cohomology groups and construction of non orbit equivalent actions*, Journal of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu **5** (2006), 309-332 (math.OA/0407199).

[P6] S. Popa: *A* II_1 *factor approach to the Kadison-Singer problem*, Comm. Math. Physics (2014), arXiv:1303.1424

[P7] S. Popa: *Independence properties in subalgebras of ultraproduct* II_1 *factors*, J. Funct. Analysis (2014), math.OA/1308.3982

[P8] S. Popa: *Classification of amenable subfactors of type* II, Acta Math. **172** (1994), 163-255.

[PRa] S. Popa, F. Radulescu: *Derivations of von Neumann factors into the compact ideal space of a semifinite algebra*, Duke Math. J., **57** (1988), 485-518.

[PV] S. Popa, S. Vaes: *Vanishing of the continuous first* L^2*-cohomology for* II_1 *factors*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices (2014), math.OA/1401.1186

[PoS] R. Powers, E. Størmer: *Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations*, Comm. in Math. Physics **16** (1970), 1-33.

[Ra] F. Radulescu: *Vanishing of* $H^2_w(M, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ *for certain finite von Neumann algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **326** (1991), 569-584.

[R] J. Ringrose: *Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **5** (1972), 432-438.

[SS] A. M. Sinclair, R. R. Smith: "Hochschild cohomology of von Neumann algebras", vol. **203**, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[T] M. Takesaki: "Theory of operator algebras", I, Vol. **124** of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.

[Th] A. Thom: L^2*-cohomology for von Neumann algebras*, GAFA **18** (2008), 51-70.

Math.Dept., UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
E-mail address: agalatan@math.ucla.edu, popa@math.ucla.edu